arXiv:1404.3977v1 [math.PR] 15 Apr 2014

Late Points and Cover Times of Projections of Planar Symmetric Random Walks on the Lattice Torus

Michael Carlisle
Baruch College, CUNY*

February 20, 2013

Abstract

We examine the sets of *late points* of a symmetric random walk on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ projected onto the torus $\mathbb{Z}_K^2$, culminating in a limit theorem for the *cover time* of the toral random walk. This extends the work done for the simple random walk in [12] to a large class of random walks projected onto the lattice torus. The approach uses comparisons between planar and toral hitting times and distributions on annuli, and uses only random walk methods.

1 Introduction

Wilf, in [27], describes watching a simple random walk on a computer screen, where, on each time step, a dark pixel turns (and remains) bright if the walk visits it for the first time. How many steps, he wonders, will it take on average for the nearest neighbor walk's path (wrapping at the edges of the screen, making a discrete two-dimensional torus) to fill the screen? He refers to this as the "white screen time" problem.

He gives solutions of the white screen problem for the one dimensional path and cycle, and the complete graph $\mathcal{K}_n$ (known as the *coupon collector*'s problem), and refers to research

*michael.carlisle@baruch.cuny.edu

related to the white screen problem under the name of *covering times*. Leaving the original problem unresolved, Wilf points to a 1989 work of Zuckerman which gives bounds on the two-dimensional square lattice torus $\mathbb{Z}_K^2 := \mathbb{Z}^2/K\mathbb{Z}^2$. Denoting the cover time of the graph G by a random walk as $\mathcal{T}_{cov}(G) := \sup_{x\in G} \mathcal{T}(x)$, where $\mathcal{T}(x)$ is the first hitting time of x, then, for the simple random walk on $\mathbb{Z}_K^2$,

$$C_1(K\log K)^2 \leq \mathcal{T}_{cov}(\mathbb{Z}_K^2) \leq C_2(K\log K)^2$$

for some positive constants C_1, C_2.

Over the course of the next 20 years, closely related problems were solved by Aldous ([2]), Dembo, Peres, Rosen, & Zeitouni ([10], [11], [12]), Lawler ([17], [18], [20]), Rosen ([24]), and Rosen & Bass ([3]). This paper builds on these works to examine the structure of the so-called *late points* (those not hit until "soon" before the cover time) which Wilf refers to as allowing the viewer of a slowly-filling white screen to "safely go read *War and Peace* without missing any action."

We are interested in the number of late points on the square torus $\mathbb{Z}_K^2$ for large, increasing K, and will investigate this for a class of projected planar lattice, *i.e.*, $\mathbb{Z}^2$, random walks $S_t = S_0 + \sum_{j=0}^t X_j$, for $X = \{X_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}}$ with the following properties: S is symmetric recurrent, X_1 has finite covariance matrix equal to a scalar times the identity, *i.e.*, $\Gamma := cov(X_1) = cI$, $c > 0$, and X is strongly aperiodic.[1] X_1 has, for some $\beta > 0$ and $M := 4+2\beta$,

$$\mathbb{E}|X_1|^M = \sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^2} |x|^M p_1(x) < \infty, \tag{1.1}$$

where, as usual in the literature,

$$p_1(x,y) = p_1(y-x) = P^x(X_1 = y)$$

is the one-step transition probability. The random walk methods used in this paper require $M > 4$; this seems to be necessary for certain Harnack inequalities which we develop (whereas, in [3], $M = 3 + 2\beta$ sufficed for frequent points on the plane).

X satisfies **Condition A**[2] if either p_1 has bounded support, or, from any point "just outside" a disc, we will enter the disc with positive probability; *i.e.*, for any $s \leq n$, for large enough n,

$$\inf_{y:n\leq|y|<n+s} \sum_{z\in D(x,n)} p_1(y,z) = \inf_{y\in\partial D(x,n)_s} P^y(X_1 \in D(x,n)) \geq ce^{-\beta s^{1/4}}, \tag{1.2}$$

where the (Euclidean) s-annulus around the disc $D(x,n)$ (also called an *x-band*) is defined

[1] [3] requires the covariance matrix of X_1 to be equal to $\frac{1}{2}I$, but this is a convenience for three technical points (on pages 9, 12, and 42), relating only to rotations. It is worthy (if not elementary) to note that the simple random walk on $\mathbb{Z}^d$'s X_1 covariance matrix is $\text{cov}(X_1) = \frac{1}{d}I$. If K is odd, this walk projects to a strongly aperiodic simple random walk on $\mathbb{Z}_K^d$.

[2] Bolded terms are terms that were introduced in a paper descended from [11] (including this author's papers), and italicized terms are well-known in the literature on random walks.

as

$$\partial D(x,n)_s := D(x,n+s) \setminus D(x,n). \tag{1.3}$$

In particular, if X_1 has infinite range, then for any $y \in \partial D(0,n)_s$, there exists $x \in D(0,n)$ such that $p_1(y,x) > 0$.

We will switch between the planar and toral representations of the random walk and corresponding stopping times, hitting distributions, etc. Define the projections, for $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, by

$$\begin{array}{l} \pi_K : \mathbb{Z}^2 \to [-K/2, K/2)^2 \cap \mathbb{Z}^2, \\ \pi_K(x) = \big((x_1 + \lfloor \frac{K}{2} \rfloor)(\text{mod } K) - \lfloor \frac{K}{2} \rfloor, (x_2 + \lfloor \frac{K}{2} \rfloor)(\text{mod } K) - \lfloor \frac{K}{2} \rfloor\big)\,; \\ \hat{\pi}_K : \mathbb{Z}^2 \to \mathbb{Z}_K^2, \quad \hat{\pi}_K(x) = (\pi_K x) + (K\mathbb{Z})^2. \end{array}$$

(For example, if $x = (-12, 6)$ and $K = 11$, then $\pi_{11}(\mathbb{Z}^2) = \{-5, \ldots, 5\}^2$, $\pi_{11}(x) = (-1, -5)$, and $\hat{\pi}_{11}(x) = (-1, -5) + (11\mathbb{Z})^2$.)

We call the set of lattice points $\pi_K(\mathbb{Z}^2) = [-K/2, K/2)^2 \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$ the **primary copy** in $\mathbb{Z}^2$, and for $x \in \pi_K(\mathbb{Z}^2)$, $\hat{x} := \hat{\pi}_K x$ is its corresponding element in $\mathbb{Z}_K^2$. Any $z \in \pi_K^{-1} x$, $z \neq \pi_K x$, is called a **copy** of x. Likewise, for a set $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$, $\hat{A} := \hat{\pi}_K A$ is the toral projection of A, and the set of all copies of A is

$$\pi_K^{-1}\pi_K A = \hat{\pi}_K^{-1}\hat{A} := \{z \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : z = x + (iK, jK),\ i, j \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in A\}.$$

Figure 1 displays the projection of a planar set A onto the torus as $\hat{A}$, and its pullback onto $\pi_K^{-1} A$. (If $A \subset \pi_K \mathbb{Z}^2$, then of course, $A = \pi_K A$.)



Figure 1: $A \to \hat{A} \to \hat{\pi}_K^{-1}\hat{A} = \pi_K^{-1}A$

For a given $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_K^2$, we define x to be the (planar) primary copy of that element; $x := \pi_K \hat{\pi}_K^{-1} \hat{x}$.

While X_j is the jth step of the planar walk and S_j its position at time j, we use $\hat{S}_j$ to denote the position of the toral walk at time j. The distance between two points $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ will be the Euclidean distance $|x - y|$; on the torus, the distance between two

points $\hat{x}, \hat{y} \in \mathbb{Z}_K^2$ will be the minimum Euclidean distance $|\hat{x} - \hat{y}| \leq K\sqrt{2}/2$. To limit the issues regarding this distance, we will restrict any discs on $\mathbb{Z}_K^2$ to have radius $n < K/4$ (sometimes written as a diameter constraint: $2n < K/2$).

To bound our functions, we need a precise notion of bounding distance on the lattice torus $\mathbb{Z}_K^2$. As in [12], a function $f(x)$ is said to be $O(x)$ if $f(x)/x$ is bounded, uniformly in all implicit geometry-related quantities (such as K). That is, $f(x) = O(x)$ if there exists a universal constant C (not depending on K) such that $|f(x)| \leq Cx$. Thus $x = O(x)$ but Kx is *not* $O(x)$. A similar convention applies to $o(x)$.

Next, we will define a few terms describing the distance of a random walk step, relative to a reference disc of radius n and an s-annulus around the disc. A **small** jump refers to a step that is short enough to possibly (but not necessarily) stay inside a disc of radius n (*i.e.*, $|X_1| < 2n$). A **baby** jump refers to a small jump that is too short to hop over an s-annulus from inside a disc (*i.e.*, $|X_1| < s$). A **medium** jump refers to a step that is sufficiently large to hop out of a disc and past an s-annulus, but with magnitude strictly less than K, and cannot land near a toral copy of its launching point (*i.e.*, $s < |X_1| < K - 2n$). A **large** jump is a step which, in the toral setting, would be considered "wrapping around" in one step (*i.e.*, $|X_1| > K - 2n$). A **targeted** jump is a large jump which lands directly in a copy of the disc or annulus just launched from (*i.e.*, $j(K - 2n) \leq |X_1| \leq j(K + 2n)/\sqrt{2}$ for some j). These terms will aid in dealing with differences between planar and toral hitting and escape times.[3]

As in [10], Section 5, set $\pi_\Gamma := 2\pi\sqrt{\det \Gamma}$, and let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. (For simple random walk, $\Gamma = \frac{1}{2}I$, so $\pi_\Gamma = \pi$.) We call $\hat{x}$ an α, K-*late point* of the random walk $\hat{S}$ on $\mathbb{Z}_K^2$ if the first hitting time of $\hat{x}$, $\mathcal{T}_K(\hat{x})$, is such that $\mathcal{T}_K(\hat{x}) \geq \frac{4\alpha}{\pi_\Gamma}(K \log K)^2$. Set $\mathcal{L}_K(\alpha)$ to be the set of α, K-late points in $\mathbb{Z}_K^2$, *i.e.*,

$$\mathcal{L}_K(\alpha) := \left\{ \hat{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_K^2 : \frac{\mathcal{T}_K(\hat{x})}{(K \log K)^2} \geq \frac{4\alpha}{\pi_\Gamma} \right\}.$$

We prove the following, generalizing [12, Proposition 1.1]:

Theorem 1.1. *For any* $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$\lim_{K \to \infty} \frac{\log |\mathcal{L}_K(\alpha)|}{\log K} = 2(1 - \alpha) \quad \textit{in probability.} \tag{1.4}$$

As $\alpha \to 1$, a corollary of (1.4) is that we can generalize the cover time result of [11, Theorem 1.1] to our class of random walks:

Corollary 1.2.

$$\lim_{K \to \infty} \frac{\mathcal{T}_{cov}(\mathbb{Z}_K^2)}{(K \log K)^2} = \frac{4}{\pi_\Gamma} \quad \textit{in probability.} \tag{1.5}$$

[3] We have distinguished between three types of jumps on the torus that in the planar-only case (as in *e.g.*, [3]) are referred to only as large jumps.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we state results from [6] about probabilities of exiting a disc, entering a disc, and entering an annulus in the plane and torus. With this knowledge, in Section 3 we build fine-tuned Harnack inequalities from general results in [7] when the landing point is a nearby annulus. These Harnack inequalities are applied in Section 4 to examine excursions between consecutive concentric annuli. Finally, in Section 5 we estimate the rarity of traveling between these annuli without ever visiting their common center point (thereby deeming the path "late" in visiting the center).

2 Escape, Entry Results

In this section we develop the notions of hitting time and Green's function on the plane and torus, and supply relationships between the two with respect to the timing of the random walk's escape from and entry to a disc, as well as entry to an annulus, stating results from [6].

2.1 Disc Escape

The *hitting time* of a random walk to a set A is defined as the stopping time $T_A = \inf\{t \geq 0 : S_t \in A\}$. Likewise, the *escape time* of the walk from A is the stopping time T_{A^c}. For a recurrent, strongly aperiodic, irreducible random walk on $\mathbb{Z}^2$, $T_{A^c} < \infty$ a.s. We denote $T_{\hat{A}}$ to be the hitting time of $\hat{A} \subset \mathbb{Z}^2_K$. We will examine several relationships between planar and toral hitting times.

An immediate observation on hitting times (*e.g.*, from [26]) is that, the larger the set to hit, the quicker it will be hit. If $A \subset B$, then obviously $T_B \leq T_A$. It is clear, then, that $\hat{\pi}_K^{-1}\hat{A}$, as an infinite number of copies of $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$, has a quicker hitting time than just one copy of A. In fact, we have

$$T_{\pi_K^{-1}A} = T_{\hat{\pi}_K^{-1}\hat{A}} = T_{\hat{A}}. \tag{2.1}$$

Let n, s be such that $n+s < K/4$, and $D(0,n) = \pi_K D(0,n)$ the primary copy of $D(0,n) \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$. Define the primary copy's portion of the complement of $D(0,n)$ to be $D(0,n)^c_K := D(0,n)^c \cap \pi_K\mathbb{Z}^2$. (2.2) and Figure 2 describe the nestedness of sets from the planar annulus $\partial D(0,n)_s$ up to the planar disc complement $D(0,n)^c$:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial D(0,n)_s &\subset \pi_K^{-1}(\partial D(0,n)_s) = \hat{\pi}_K^{-1}\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0,n)_s) \\ &\subset \hat{\pi}_K^{-1}\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n)^c_K) = \pi_K^{-1}(D(0,n)^c_K) \subset D(0,n)^c.\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$



Figure 2: Comparison of planar sets listed in (2.2), on the plane. Labeled sets are shaded.

By (2.1), (2.2) yields, starting at any $x \in D(0,n)$, the *disc escape time inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\partial D(0,n)_s} &\geq T_{\pi_K^{-1}\partial D(0,n)_s} = T_{\hat{\pi}_K^{-1}\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0,n)_s)} \\ &\geq T_{\hat{\pi}_K^{-1}\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n)^c_K)} = T_{\pi_K^{-1}(D(0,n)^c_K)} \geq T_{D(0,n)^c} \geq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

We shall take planar starting points from the primary copy ($x = \pi_K x$). The probabilities of these inequalities being strict (*e.g.*, $P^x(T_{D(0,n)^c} < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n)^c_K)})$) and the means of the stopping times will be of interest to us. We start with estimating the mean of the planar escape time from $D(0,n)$ (which improves on [19, Prop. 6.2.6]), and then use this probability to estimate the toral escape time from $\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $S_t = S_0 + \sum_{j=1}^t X_j$ be a random walk in $\mathbb{Z}^2$ with $E|X_1|^2 < \infty$, and covariance matrix Γ such that $tr(\Gamma) = \gamma^2 > 0$. Then, uniformly for $x \in D(0,n)$, and for sufficiently large n,*

$$\frac{n^2 - |x|^2}{\gamma^2} \leq \mathbb{E}^x(T_{D(0,n)^c}) \leq \frac{n^2 - |x|^2}{\gamma^2} + 2n + 1. \tag{2.4}$$

Proof See [6, Lemma 2.1]. □

For $\Gamma = cI$, $\gamma^2 = 2c$ and so (2.4) becomes[4]

$$\frac{n^2 - |x|^2}{2c} \leq \mathbb{E}^x(T_{D(0,n)^c}) \leq \frac{n^2 - |x|^2}{2c} + 2n + 1. \tag{2.5}$$

We define the *Green's function* for two points x, y, as the expected number of visits to y, starting from x, up to the fixed time t^*:

$$G_{t^*}(x,y) := \mathbb{E}^x\left[\sum_{j=0}^{t^*} 1_{\{S_j = y\}}\right] = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P^x(S_j = y; j < t^*). \tag{2.6}$$

[4]For simple random walk on $\mathbb{Z}^2$, $c = 1/2$, which yields [12, (2.3)].

Spitzer, in [26], similarly defines the *truncated Green's function*, for $x, y \in A$ of a random walk from x to y before exiting A as the total expected number of visits to y, starting from x:

$$G_A(x,y) := \mathbb{E}^x\left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 1_{\{S_j=y; j<T_{A^c}\}}\right] = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P^x(S_j = y; j < T_{A^c}) \tag{2.7}$$

and 0 if x or $y \notin A$. (Since the walk is recurrent and aperiodic, there is no "all-time" Green's function to count the total number of visits to x from $j = 0$ to ∞.) An elementary result for any random walk (found, for example, in [26], or [17, Sect. 1.5]) is that, for $x, y \in A \subset B$, there are more possible visits inside B than inside A:

$$G_A(x,y) \le G_B(x,y). \tag{2.8}$$

Also of interest is the expected hitting time identity

$$\mathbb{E}^x(T_{A^c}) = \sum_{z\in A} G_A(x,z). \tag{2.9}$$

Starting at a point $x \in A^c$, the *hitting distribution* of A is defined as

$$H_A(x,y) := P^x(S_{T_A} = y).$$

The *last exit decomposition* of a hitting distribution is based on the Green's function: for A a proper subset of $\mathbb{Z}^2$, $x \in A^c$, $y \in A$,

$$H_A(x,y) = \sum_{z\in A^c} G_{A^c}(x,z)p_1(z,y). \tag{2.10}$$

An immediate result follows from (2.8): If $y \in A \subset B$, then for $x \in B^c \subset A^c$, we have by (2.8) the monotonicity result

$$\begin{array}{rcl} H_A(x,y) & = & \sum_{z\in A^c} G_{A^c}(x,z)p_1(z,y) \\ & \ge & \sum_{z\in B^c} G_{B^c}(x,z)p_1(z,y) = H_B(x,y) \end{array} \tag{2.11}$$

and the subset hitting time relations (assuming a recurrent random walk)

$$\begin{aligned} P^x(T_A = T_B) &= \sum_{z\in A} H_B(x,z); \\ P^x(T_A \ne T_B) = P^x(T_A > T_B) &= \sum_{z\in B\setminus A} H_B(x,z) \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

which we will revisit in Section 2.3.

By Markov's inequality, large jumps are rare: if $C_M = \mathbb{E}(|X_1|^M) < \infty$, then since $2n < K/2$,

$$P(|X_1| > K - 2n) \le \frac{C_M}{(K-2n)^M} < \frac{2^M C_M}{K^M} = O(K^{-M}). \tag{2.13}$$

Recall that, when given a toral element $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_K^2$, we define x to be the (planar) primary copy of that element; $x := \pi_K \hat{\pi}_K^{-1} \hat{x}$. A toral step $\hat{x} \to \hat{y}$ must take into account large jumps that, on the plane, would land on a copy of y (*i.e.*, in $\hat{\pi}_K^{-1}\hat{y}$). All of these positions, together, are a small addition to the planar jump probability. By (2.13) we have, for $\hat{x}, \hat{y} \in \mathbb{Z}_K^2$, the targeted jump estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{p}_1(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) := P^{\hat{x}}(\hat{S}_1 = \hat{y}) &= P^x(S_1 = y) + P^x\left(|X_1| > K - 2n; S_1 \in \hat{\pi}_K^{-1}\hat{y} \setminus \{y\}\right) \\ &\le p_1(x, y) + O(K^{-M}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

By (2.10), (2.13), and then (2.4) and (2.9), for some $c < \infty$ and any $x \in D(0, n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} P^x(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n)_K^c)} > T_{D(0,n)^c}) &= \sum_{z \in \left(\hat{\pi}_K^{-1}\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n)) \setminus D(0,n)\right)} \sum_{y \in D(0,n)} G_{D(0,n)}(x, y) p_1(y, z) \\ &\le cK^{-M} \sum_{y \in D(0,n)} G_{D(0,n)}(x, y) = O(K^{-M} n^2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

We now find that the mean of the disc escape time on the torus is larger than on the plane, but only by a small factor (induced by the rarity of targeted jumps).

Lemma 2.2. *For $n < K/4$, $x \in D(0, n)$, and n and K sufficiently large,*

$$\mathbb{E}^{\hat{x}}[T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n)_K^c)}] \le \mathbb{E}^x[T_{D(0,n)^c}] + O(K^{-M} n^2) \max_{y \in D(0,n)} \mathbb{E}^y[T_{D(0,n)^c}]. \tag{2.16}$$

Proof See [6, Lemma 2.2]. □

Example 2.3. *Let $A = D(0, \sqrt{2}) = \{0, +e_1, -e_1, +e_2, -e_2\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$, where e_i is the ith unit vector in $\mathbb{Z}^2$, and K odd and fixed. Let X be the symmetric random walk on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ starting at $X_0 = 0$ defined by the probabilities*

$$p_1(K^j e_i) = P^0(X_1 = K^j e_i) = \frac{1}{4} e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^j}{j!}, j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots; \;\; i = 1, 2.$$

$\frac{\log |X_1|}{\log K}$ is a Poisson random variable with parameter λ, and moving any of the four primary lattice directions is equally likely. S_t is strongly aperiodic recurrent and has infinite range, $E(|X_1|^m) < \infty$ for all $m < \infty$ (and, in particular, $cov(|X_1|) = \Gamma = \frac{1}{2} e^{(K^2-1)\lambda} I$), and every large jump causes a landing in a new copy of A. The only way to escape $\pi_K^{-1} A = \hat{\pi}_K^{-1} \hat{A}$ is a step of size $K^0 = 1$.

Computational bounds on $\mathbb{E}^{\hat{x}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n)_K^c)})$, by (2.16) and (2.4), are

$$\frac{n^2 - |x|^2}{\gamma^2} \le \mathbb{E}^{\hat{x}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n)_K^c)}) \le \frac{n^2 - |x|^2}{\gamma^2} + 2n + 1 + O(K^{-M} n^4). \tag{2.17}$$

Example 2.4. *Define the ε-lazy simple random walk on $\mathbb{Z}^d$, for $0 \le \varepsilon < 1$, to be the walk with steps $p_1(e_j) = p_1(-e_j) = \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2d}$, $j = 1, ..., d$; $p_1(0) = \varepsilon$,* i.e., *the walk stands still for a*

step with probability ε, and acts "simply" otherwise. Then $\Gamma = \left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{d}\right) I$, and so for $d = 2$, $\mathbb{E}^{\hat{x}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n)_K^c)}) = \frac{n^2-|x|^2}{1-\varepsilon} + O(n)$.

We will next see that, from inside a disc, the probability of hitting zero before escaping is nearly the same on the torus as on the plane. Recall that, for $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_K^2$, $x := \pi_K \hat{\pi}_K^{-1} \hat{x}$.

Lemma 2.5. *For all $\hat{x} \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))$ and n sufficiently large with $2n < K/2$,*

$$P^{\hat{x}}(T_{\hat{0}} < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n)_K^c)}) = P^x(T_0 < T_{D(0,n)^c}) + O(K^{-M} n^2). \tag{2.18}$$

Proof See [6, Lemma 2.3]. □

Finally, we calculate bounds for hitting time probabilities of a small disc around zero before escaping the n-disc. Let $\rho(\hat{x}) := n - |\hat{x}|$ be the distance between $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $0 < \delta < \varepsilon < 1$. Then there exist $0 < c_1 < c_2 < \infty$ such that for all $\hat{x} \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n)) \setminus \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,\varepsilon n))$, for n sufficiently large,*

$$c_1 \frac{\rho(\hat{x}) \vee 1}{n} \leq P^{\hat{x}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,\delta n))} < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n)_K^c)}) \leq c_2 \frac{\rho(\hat{x}) \vee 1}{n}. \tag{2.19}$$

Proof See [6, Lemma 2.4]. □

Here we will examine *internal* Green's functions on the plane (*i.e.*, from inside a disc; Green's functions external to a disc will be analyzed in Section 2.2). We extend some results of [19] for symmetric random walks on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ to projections of these random walks onto $\mathbb{Z}_K^2$.

We define the Green's function in the usual way for $\hat{x}, \hat{y} \in \hat{\pi}_K(A) = \hat{A} \in \mathbb{Z}_K^2$ to be, in comparison to (2.7),

$$\hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K(A)}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P^{\hat{x}}(\hat{S}_j = \hat{y}; j < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(A_K^c)}) \tag{2.20}$$

and 0 else. In the planar case, the stopping time T_{A^c} for a bounded set A has a clear meaning, as a sufficiently large jump (one with magnitude greater than the diameter of A, for example) will certainly exit A. Jumps targeting A land, in $\mathbb{Z}^2$, in $\pi_K^{-1} A = \hat{\pi}_K^{-1} \hat{A}$; on $\mathbb{Z}_K^2$, they land in $\hat{A}$. This means that planar estimates must be adjusted to reach similar results on the torally-projected walk.

Please note that (2.20) is different from the planar Green's function on the periodic planar set $\pi_K^{-1} A$:

$$G_{\pi_K^{-1}A}(x, y) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P^x(S_j = y; j < T_{\pi_K^{-1}(A_K^c)}), \ x, y \in \pi_K^{-1} A. \tag{2.21}$$

We will explore this distinction in Section 2.2.

Note that $S_j \in \hat{\pi}_K^{-1}\hat{S}_j$ for every j. By (2.3) it is clear that planar escape happens at or before toral escape. Hence, the number of planar visits is less than or equal to the number of toral visits; for any $x, y \in A \subset \pi_K\mathbb{Z}^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} G_A(x,y) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P^x(S_j = y;\, j < T_{A^c}) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P^x(S_j \in \pi_K^{-1}y;\, j < T_{A^c}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P^{\hat{x}}(\hat{S}_j = \hat{y};\, j < T_{A^c}) \\ &\le \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P^{\hat{x}}(\hat{S}_j = \hat{y};\, j < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(A_K^c)}) = \hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K(A)}(\hat{x},\hat{y}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

where equality occurs between the first and second lines because, of all the copies of y in $\pi_K^{-1}y$, only the primary copy $y = \pi_K y$ can be hit before the planar escape time T_{A^c}.

We start by giving bounds on the number of visits to $\hat{0}$ before escaping a disc.

Lemma 2.7. *For n sufficiently large (with $2n < K/2$),*

$$\hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))}(\hat{0},\hat{0}) = G_{D(0,n)}(0,0)[1 + O(K^{-M}n^2)]. \tag{2.23}$$

Proof See [6, Lemma 2.5]. □

Define the *potential kernel* for X on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ as follows: for $x \in \mathbb{Z}^2$,

$$a(x) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n} [p_j(0) - p_j(x)]. \tag{2.24}$$

Combining the generality of rotation of [26, Ch. III, Sec. 12, P3] and [19, Theorem 4.4.6] and the infinite-range argument of [3, Prop. 9.2] gives, for covariance matrix Γ and norm $\mathcal{J}^*(x) := |x \cdot \Gamma^{-1}x|$, as $|x| \to \infty$,

$$a(x) = \frac{2}{\pi_\Gamma} \log \mathcal{J}^*(x) + C(p_1) + o(|x|^{-1}), \tag{2.25}$$

where $C(p_1)$ is a constant depending on p_1 but not x, and $\pi_\Gamma = 2\pi\sqrt{\det\Gamma}$. For $\Gamma = cI$, this reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} a(x) &= \frac{1}{c\pi} \log\left(\frac{|x|}{\sqrt{c}}\right) + C(p_1) + o(|x|^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{c\pi} \log|x| + C'(p_1) + o(|x|^{-1}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

where $C'(p_1) = C(p_1) - \frac{1}{2c\pi}\log c$. For simple random walk on $\mathbb{Z}^2$, $c = \frac{1}{2}$, and so this is,

from [19, Theorem 4.4.4],

$$a(x) = \frac{2}{\pi}\log|x| + \frac{2\gamma + \log 8}{\pi} + o(|x|^{-1}), \tag{2.27}$$

where γ is Euler's constant. From here on, we will write (2.26) with the form

$$a(x) = \frac{2}{\pi_\Gamma}\log|x| + C'(p_1) + o(|x|^{-1}). \tag{2.28}$$

By the argument in [3, (2.8)-(2.12)] (which calculates the overshoot estimate of $O(n^{-1/4})$ mentioned in the note after [19, Prop. 6.3.1]), and using (2.28), we get a computational result for (2.23) if $\Gamma = cI$:

$$G_{D(0,n)}(0,0) = \frac{2}{\pi_\Gamma}\log n + C' + O(n^{-1/4}) \tag{2.29}$$

which implies the toral Green's function

$$\begin{aligned}
\implies \hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))}(\hat{0},\hat{0}) &= G_{D(0,n)}(0,0)(1 + O(K^{-M}n^2)) \\
&= \left(\frac{2}{\pi_\Gamma}\log n + C' + O(n^{-1/4})\right)(1 + O(K^{-M}n^2)) \\
&= \frac{2}{\pi_\Gamma}\log n + C' + O(n^{-1/4}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

For $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that $|x| \ll |y|$, we have, by a Taylor expansion around y,

$$\log|y - x| = \log|y| + O\left(\frac{|x|}{|y|}\right). \tag{2.31}$$

In particular, if $x \in D(0, 2r)$ and $y \in D(0, R/2)^c$, with $R = 4mr$, we have

$$\log|y - x| = \log|y| + O\left(m^{-1}\right). \tag{2.32}$$

Note that (2.31) and (2.32) hold in the toral case without adjustment.

Let $\eta = \inf\{t \geq 1 : S_t \in \{0\} \cup D(0,n)^c\}$. Then, following the argument of [3, (2.14)-(2.15)], since $a(x)$ is harmonic with respect to p, $a(S_{t\wedge\eta})$ is a bounded martingale. Hence, $|a(S_{t\wedge\eta})|^2$ is a submartingale, so $\mathbb{E}|a(S_{t\wedge\eta})|^2 \leq \mathbb{E}|a(S_\eta)|^2 < \infty$, meaning $\{a(S_{t\wedge\eta})\}$ are uniformly integrable. Hence, by the optional stopping and bounded convergence theorems, (2.28), and (2.32),

$$\begin{aligned}
a(x) &= \lim_{t\to\infty} \mathbb{E}^x(a(S_{t\wedge\eta})) = \mathbb{E}^x(a(S_\eta)) = \mathbb{E}^x(a(S_\eta);\, S_\eta \neq 0) \\
&= \sum_{y \in \partial D(0,n)_{n^{3/4}}} a(y)P^x(S_\eta = y) + \sum_{y \in D(0,n+n^{3/4})^c} a(y)P^x(S_\eta = y) \\
&= \left(\frac{2}{\pi_\Gamma}\log n + C'(p_1) + o(|x|^{-1}) + O(n^{-1/4})\right)P^x(S_\eta \neq 0) + O(n^{-1/4}),
\end{aligned}$$

which, combining the error terms into $O(|x|^{-1/4})$, matches [19, Prop. 6.4.3]:

$$P^x(T_0 < T_{D(0,n)^c}) = P^x(S_\eta = 0) = 1 - \frac{a(x) - O(n^{-1/4})}{\frac{2}{\pi_\Gamma}\log n + C' + O(|x|)^{-1/4}} \tag{2.33}$$
$$= 1 - \frac{\frac{2}{\pi_\Gamma}\log|x| + C' + O(|x|^{-1/4})}{\frac{2}{\pi_\Gamma}\log n + C' + O(n^{-1/4})} = \left(\frac{\log(n/|x|) + O(|x|^{-1/4})}{\log n}\right)(1 + O((\log n)^{-1})).$$

With (2.18), we move this to the torus:

$$P^{\hat{x}}(T_{\hat{0}} < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n)^c_K)}) = \frac{\log(n/|\hat{x}|) + O(|\hat{x}|^{-1/4})}{\log(n)}\left(1 + O((\log n)^{-1})\right) + O(K^{-M}n^2)$$
$$= \frac{\log(n/|\hat{x}|) + O(|\hat{x}|^{-1/4})}{\log(n)}\left(1 + O((\log n)^{-1})\right). \tag{2.34}$$

Next, we examine $\hat{x} \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,R)) \setminus \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r))$. By the fact that a large targeted jump may land a planar walk into $\hat{\pi}_K^{-1}\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r)) \setminus D(0,r)$ (the set of any copy of $D(0,r)$ that is not the primary copy), we may transfer the planar results [3, (2.20), (2.21)]

$$P^x(T_{D(0,r)} > T_{D(0,R)^c}) = \frac{\log(|x|/r) + O(r^{-1/4})}{\log(R/r)} \tag{2.35}$$

$$P^x(T_{D(0,r)} < T_{D(0,R)^c}) = \frac{\log(R/|x|) + O(r^{-1/4})}{\log(R/r)} \tag{2.36}$$

uniformly for $r < |x| < R$ to the toral results

$$P^{\hat{x}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r))} > T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,R)^c_K)}) = \frac{\log(|\hat{x}|/r) + O(r^{-1/4})}{\log(R/r)} + O(K^{-M}R^2)$$
$$= \frac{\log(|\hat{x}|/r) + O(r^{-1/4})}{\log(R/r)} \tag{2.37}$$

$$P^{\hat{x}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r))} < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,R)^c_K)}) = \frac{\log(R/|\hat{x}|) + O(r^{-1/4})}{\log(R/r)} + O(K^{-M}R^2)$$
$$= \frac{\log(R/|\hat{x}|) + O(r^{-1/4})}{\log(R/r)}. \tag{2.38}$$

The strong Markov property applied at T_0 gives us the planar equality

$$G_{D(0,n)}(x,0) = P^x(T_0 < T_{D(0,n)^c})\, G_{D(0,n)}(0,0), \tag{2.39}$$

which implies $G_{D(0,n)}(x,0) \le G_{D(0,n)}(0,0)$ for any $x \in D(0,n)$. This equality has a clear analog on the torus, by applying the strong Markov property at $T_{\hat{0}}$, for any $\hat{x} \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))$,

$$\hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))}(\hat{x},\hat{0}) = P^{\hat{x}}(T_{\hat{0}} < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n)^c_K)})\, \hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))}(\hat{0},\hat{0}). \tag{2.40}$$

By (2.39), (2.29), (2.30), (2.40), (2.33), and (2.34), we get as corollaries calculations and bounds for $G_{D(0,n)}(x,0)$, $\hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))}(\hat{x},\hat{0})$, $G_{D(0,n)}(x,z)$, and $\hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))}(\hat{x},\hat{z})$: for $x \in D(0,n)$ and $\hat{x} \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))$, for some $C = C(p) < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{D(0,n)}(x,0) &= P^x(T_0 < T_{D(0,n)^c})\, G_{D(0,n)}(0,0) \\ &= \frac{\log(n/|x|) + O(|x|^{-1/4})}{\log(n)} \left(1 + O((\log n)^{-1}\right) \left(\frac{2}{\pi_\Gamma} \log n + C' + O(n^{-1/4})\right) \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi_\Gamma} \log\left(\frac{n}{|x|}\right) + C + O(|x|^{-1/4}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

$$\hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))}(\hat{x},\hat{0}) = \frac{2}{\pi_\Gamma} \log\left(\frac{n}{|\hat{x}|}\right) + C + O(|\hat{x}|^{-1/4}) \tag{2.42}$$

$$G_{D(0,n)}(x,z) \le G_{D(x,2n)}(0,z-x) \le c \log n. \tag{2.43}$$

$$\hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))}(\hat{x},\hat{z}) = G_{D(0,n)}(x,z) + O(K^{-M} n^2 \log n) \le c \log n. \tag{2.44}$$

Finally, we have the following result paralleling (2.19). Recall that $\rho(\hat{x}) = n - |\hat{x}|$.

Lemma 2.8. *For any $0 < \delta < \varepsilon < 1$ we can find $0 < c_1 < c_2 < \infty$, such that for all $\hat{x} \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n)) \setminus \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,\varepsilon n))$, $\hat{y} \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,\delta n))$ and all n sufficiently large such that $2n < K/2$,*

$$c_1 \frac{\rho(\hat{x}) \vee 1}{n} \le \hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))}(\hat{y},\hat{x}) \le c_2 \frac{\rho(\hat{x}) \vee 1}{n}. \tag{2.45}$$

Proof See [6, Lemma 2.6]. □

2.2 Disc Entry

Here we will examine paths starting outside a disc. Since, on $\mathbb{Z}^2$,

$$\partial D(0,n)_s \subset \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \pi_K^{-1} \partial D(0,n)_s \\ D(0,n+s) \end{array} \right\} \subset \pi_K^{-1} D(0,n+s), \tag{2.46}$$

then starting at any $y \in \pi_K^{-1}(D(0,n+s)^c \cap \pi_K \mathbb{Z}^2)$ (as seen in Figure 2) yields the *disc entrance time inequalities*

$$T_{\pi_K^{-1} D(0,n+s)} \le \left\{ \begin{array}{c} T_{\pi_K^{-1} \partial D(0,n)_s} \\ T_{D(0,n+s)} \end{array} \right\} \le T_{\partial D(0,n)_s}. \tag{2.47}$$

These relationships will be exploited in this and the next section.

To supplement the internal Green's functions of Section 2 are external Green's functions: those counting the number of visits to a point outside of a set before entering that set.

Wlog x and $D(0,n)$ are in the primary copy. We will find bounds on three different external Green's functions:

Green's function	scope	starting at	counts visits to	before...
$G_{D(0,n)^c}(x,y)$	planar	x	y	$T_{D(0,n)}$
$G_{\pi_K^{-1}(D(0,n)_K^c)}(x,y)$	planar	x	y	$T_{\pi_K^{-1}D(0,n)} = T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))}$
$\hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n)_K^c)}(\hat{x},\hat{y})$	toral	$\hat{x}$	$\hat{y}$	$T_{\pi_K^{-1}D(0,n)} = T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))}$

Note that, similar to (2.39), for any $x, y \in D(0,n)^c$, by the symmetry of G_A and the strong Markov property at T_x,

$$G_{D(0,n)^c}(x,y) = P^y(T_x < T_{D(0,n)})\, G_{D(0,n)^c}(x,x), \tag{2.48}$$

so, assuming $|x| < |y|$, we only need $G_{D(0,n)^c}(x,x)$ for an upper bound. Fix $j > 2$. By the arguments from [6, Section 3.1], we have the following bounds for any $x, y \in \pi_K(D(0,n)_K^c)$ such that $|x| \le |y|$:

$$G_{D(0,n)^c}(x,y) \le \frac{2j}{j-2}\left[\frac{2}{\pi_\Gamma}\log(2|x|) + C + O(|x|^{-1/4})\right] \le c_j \log|x|, \tag{2.49}$$

$$\hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n)_K^c)}(\hat{x},\hat{y}) \le \frac{2j}{j-2}\left[\frac{2}{\pi_\Gamma}\log(2|x|) + C + O(|x|^{-1/4})\right] \le \hat{c}_j \log|\hat{x}|, \tag{2.50}$$

where $c_j, \hat{c}_j$ depend on $j > 2$, $c_j \ge \hat{c}_j$, and in the toral case, such that $|\hat{x}| < (\frac{K}{2})^{1/j}$ (there is no such restriction on the planar case).

Lemma 2.9. *For $\hat{x} \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n)_K^c)$,*

$$\hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n)_K^c)}(\hat{x},\hat{x}) \le \begin{cases} C\log|\hat{x}| & n < |\hat{x}| < \left(\frac{K}{2}\right)^{1/3} \\ C\log^2|\hat{x}| & \left(\frac{K}{2}\right)^{1/3} \le |\hat{x}|. \end{cases} \tag{2.51}$$

Proof See [6, Lemma 3.1]. □

We will now approach disc entrance times. Our first planar result mirrors (2.4), with a very different end result, which is hinted by the first passage time result for SRW on $\mathbb{Z}$ (in, for example, [25]).

Lemma 2.10. *For any $y \in D(0,n)^c$,*

$$\mathbb{E}^y(T_{D(0,n)}) = \infty. \tag{2.52}$$

Proof See [6, Lemma 3.2]. □

Next, we find finite bounds on the expected time to enter a toral disc.

Lemma 2.11. *For any $n < \frac{K}{6}$ and $\hat{y} \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n)_K^c)$, there exists $c < \infty$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))}) \leq \begin{cases} cK^2 \log(n) & n < |\hat{y}| < n^2 \\ cK^2 \log\left(\frac{|\hat{y}|}{n}\right) & n^2 \leq |\hat{y}| < \left(\frac{K}{2}\right)^{1/3} \\ cK^2 (\log|\hat{y}|)^2 & \left(\frac{K}{2}\right)^{1/3} \leq |\hat{y}|. \end{cases} \tag{2.53}$$

Also, we have the lower bound

$$\mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))}) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{(|\hat{y}|-n)^2}{\gamma^2} & |\hat{y}| < \frac{K}{3} \\ \frac{c(K-n)^2}{\gamma^2} & |\hat{y}| \geq \frac{K}{3} \end{cases} \tag{2.54}$$

where γ^2 is as in the proof of Lemma 2.10.

Proof See [6, Lemma 3.3]. □

(2.53) hints at the late points and cover time results of Section 5. We will improve on these bounds in our discussion on excursions.

2.3 Annulus Entry

In this section we will state results from [5] for general Green's functions, hitting times, and hitting distributions by a symmetric recurrent random walk X on a set partitioned into three pieces. We then apply these results to the partition of disc, annulus, and "outside" to relate our results from Sections 2.1 and 2.2 to the annulus. We conclude by finding tailored gambler's ruin-based probabilities and hitting distribution bounds for annuli.

2.3.1 Bounds on a three-partitioned set

Let $A \sqcup B \sqcup C$ partition our sample space. We find estimates for the Green's function $G_{A\cup B}$ and the hitting time $E^x(T_C)$ for $x, y \in A \cup B$, with interest in the case where C "separates" A and B in a sense (*i.e.*, the probability of jumping from A to B, or vice versa, without hitting C, is small). This gives a notion for how probabilistically "separate" they are.

Simple lower bounds for the Green's function $G_{A\cup B}$ are obvious; to find upper bounds for these cases, we analyze excursions between A and B before hitting C.

Lemma 2.12. *For $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$, with θ_t the usual shift operators,*

$$T_B^* := \inf\{t > T_A : X_t \in B\} = T_A + T_B \circ \theta_{T_A},$$
$$T_A^* := \inf\{t > T_B : X_t \in A\} = T_B + T_A \circ \theta_{T_B},$$

and defining

$$\psi_a := \sum_{b' \in B} H_{B \cup C}(a, b') = P^a(T_B < T_C) \tag{2.55}$$

$$\sigma_b := \sum_{a' \in A} H_{A \cup C}(b, a') = P^b(T_A < T_C) \tag{2.56}$$

$$\rho_a := \sum_{b' \in B} H_{B \cup C}(a, b') \sigma_{b'} = P^a(T_B, T_A^* < T_C) \tag{2.57}$$

$$\phi_b := \sum_{a' \in A} H_{A \cup C}(b, a') \psi_{a'} = P^b(T_A, T_B^* < T_C), \tag{2.58}$$

we have the Green's function bounds

$$G_A(a, a') \leq G_{A \cup B}(a, a') \leq G_A(a, a') + \frac{\rho_a}{1 - \rho_{a'}} G_A(a', a') \tag{2.59}$$

$$G_B(b, b') \leq G_{A \cup B}(b, b') \leq G_B(b, b') + \frac{\phi_b}{1 - \phi_{b'}} G_B(b', b') \tag{2.60}$$

$$0 \leq G_{A \cup B}(a, b) \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\sigma_b}{1 - \rho_a} G_A(a, a), \frac{\psi_a}{1 - \phi_b} G_B(b, b) \right\}. \tag{2.61}$$

Recall that G is symmetric, so the inputs can be swapped in any of these bounds. Also, by their definitions, $\psi_a \geq \rho_a$ for every $a \in A$ and $\sigma_b \geq \phi_b$ for every $b \in B$.

Proof See [5, Proposition 1]. □

We now find the expected time of hitting the set C, starting from A, in terms of hitting $B \cup C$. Lower bounds are simple: just tack the other set on for a quicker hitting time. The upper bounds will require a recursive excursion treatment similar to the proof of Lemma 2.12.

Lemma 2.13. *For $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, defining via* (2.55) *and* (2.56)*,*

$$f_A := \sup_{a \in A} E^a(T_{B \cup C}), \quad f_B := \sup_{b \in B} E^b(T_{A \cup C}), \quad \psi := \sup_{a \in A} \psi_a, \quad \sigma := \sup_{b \in B} \sigma_b, \tag{2.62}$$

we have the expected hitting time bounds

$$E^a(T_{B \cup C}) \leq E^a(T_C) \leq E^a(T_{B \cup C}) + \psi_a \left[\frac{f_B + \sigma f_A}{1 - \psi \sigma} \right] \tag{2.63}$$

$$E^b(T_{A \cup C}) \leq E^b(T_C) \leq E^b(T_{A \cup C}) + \sigma_b \left[\frac{f_A + \psi f_B}{1 - \psi \sigma} \right] \tag{2.64}$$

Proof See [5, Proposition 2]. □

2.3.2 Application: Internal-External-Annulus Probabilities

Let the following sets partition $\mathbb{Z}_K^2$, with $s \le n < K \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$A = \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n)),\ \ B = \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n+s)_K^c),\ \ C = \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0,n)_s).$$

Starting from deep inside a disc, we first prove a bound on the probability of escaping the disc beyond an annulus outside it.

Lemma 2.14.

$$\sup_{x \in D(0,n/2)} P^x(T_{\partial D(0,n)_s} > T_{D(0,n+s)^c}) \le c(s^{-M+2} \vee n^{-M+2}). \tag{2.65}$$

$$\psi = \sup_{\hat{x} \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n/2))} P^{\hat{x}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0,n)_s)} > T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n+s)_K^c)}) \le c(s^{-M+2} \vee n^{-M+2}). \tag{2.66}$$

Proof See [6, Lemma 4.1]. □

Note that for $\hat{x} \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))$, by (2.3),

$$\{T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0,n)_s)} > T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n)_K^c)}\}^c = \{T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0,n)_s)} = T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n)_K^c)}\}.$$

Hence, provided $\hat{x} \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n/2))$, and $s \le n$, (2.66) is a bound for $\psi_{\hat{x}}$ from (2.55). Also, (2.34) and (2.66) gives us the chance of escaping a disc, into its s-annulus, before visiting its center:

$$\begin{aligned} &P^{\hat{x}}(T_{\hat{0}} > T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n)_K^c)};\, T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n)_K^c)} = T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0,n)_s)}) \\ &= 1 - \frac{\log(n/|\hat{x}|) + O(|\hat{x}|^{-1/4})}{\log n}(1 + O((\log n)^{-1}) + O(s^{-M+2}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.67}$$

By (2.66), (2.57), and (2.58), for $\hat{x} \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n/2))$ and $\hat{y} \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n+s)_K^c)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\hat{x}} &= P^{\hat{x}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n+s)_K^c)}, T^*_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))} < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0,n)_s)}) \\ &\le c(s^{-M+2} \vee n^{-M+2}); \end{aligned} \tag{2.68}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\hat{y}} &= P^{\hat{y}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))}, T^*_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n+s)_K^c)} < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0,n)_s)}) \\ &\le c(s^{-M+2} \vee n^{-M+2}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.69}$$

Next, we find a bound for $\sigma_{\hat{x}}$ from (2.56).

Lemma 2.15. *For n sufficiently large,*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &= \sup_{\hat{x} \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n+s)_K^c)} P^{\hat{x}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))} < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0,n)_s)}) \\ &\le cn^2 \log(n)^2(s^{-M} + n^{-M}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.70}$$

Proof See [6, Lemma 4.2]. □

In particular, if $s = O(n)$, since $M = 4 + 2\beta$, (2.70) is bounded above by cn^{-2}, and if $s = O(\sqrt{n})$, (2.70) is bounded above by $cn^{-\beta}$.

Combining (2.37) and (2.66), we find the probability that, starting far from a small disc $\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r))$, the walk escapes a larger disc $\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,R))$ before entering $\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r))$. If $r < R$ and $\hat{x} \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,R/2))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &P^{\hat{x}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,R)^c_K)} < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r))}; T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,R)^c_K)} = T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0,R)_s)}) \\ &= \frac{\log(|\hat{x}|/r) + O(r^{-1/4})}{\log(R/r)} + O(s^{-M+2}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.71}$$

To enter a disc, we first quote the planar result [3, Lemma 2.4]: if $s < r < R$ sufficiently large with $R \le r^2$ we can find $c < \infty$ and $\delta > 0$ such that for any $r < |x| < R$,

$$P^x(T_{D(0,r)} < T_{D(0,R)^c}; T_{D(0,r)} = T_{D(0,r-s)}) \le cr^{-\delta} + cs^{-M+2}. \tag{2.72}$$

We see the same result on $\mathbb{Z}^2_K$, with an extra toral term (which is absorbed).

Lemma 2.16. *For the conditions listed above,*

$$\begin{aligned} &P^{\hat{x}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r))} < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,R)^c_K)}; T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r))} = T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r-s))}) \\ &\qquad \le cr^{-\delta} + cs^{-M+2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.73}$$

Proof See [6, Lemma 4.3]. □

We use (2.73) along with (2.38) to get the toral gambler's ruin-via-annulus estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} &P^{\hat{x}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r))} < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,R)^c_K)}; T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r))} = T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0,r-s)_s)}) \\ &= \frac{\log(R/|\hat{x}|) + O(r^{-\delta})}{\log(R/r)} + O(s^{-M+2}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.74}$$

We now give results on these probabilities for a finely-tuned set of radii and annuli which will appear in later sections. For n large and $c > 0$ and set the following:[5]

$$\begin{aligned} &r_{n,k} = e^n n^{3k}, s_k = n^4, \qquad r'_{n,k} = r_{n,k} + s_k, \qquad k = 0, 1, \ldots, n; \\ &s^{n\downarrow}_{n-1} = \sqrt{r_{n,n-1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.75}$$

For large enough n, $n^4 < r^{\delta}_{n,l}$ for any $1/2 \le \delta < 1$, so for any $\hat{x} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0, r_{n,l})_{s_l})$ and

[5]The use of different thicknesses of s_{n-1} depending on direction is due to the entry probability from level n in the lower bound argument of Section 5; see Section 4.2 and (5.22) for details.

$1 \le l \le n-1$,

$$n^3 = \frac{r_{n,l}}{r_{n,l-1}} < \frac{|\hat{x}|}{r_{n,l-1}} < \frac{r_{n,l}+r_{n,l}^{\delta}}{r_{n,l-1}} < n^3 + e^{-n(1-\delta)}n^{-3l(1-\delta)+3} < n^3 + n^{-1}$$
$$\implies \log\left(\frac{|\hat{x}|}{r_{n,l-1}}\right) = 3\log n + O(n^{-4}), \tag{2.76}$$

so by (2.71) and (2.76) we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{l+1} &:= P^{\hat{x}}\left(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r_{n,l+1})^c)} < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r'_{n,l-1}))}; T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r_{n,l+1})^c)} = T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0,r_{n,l+1})_{s_{l+1}})}\right) \\ &= \frac{3\log n + O(n^{-4}) + O(r_{n,l-1}^{-1/4})}{\log(r_{n,l+1}/r_{n,l-1})} + O(s_l^{-M+2}) \\ &= \frac{3\log n + O(n^{-4})}{6\log n} + O(s_l^{-M+2}) = \frac{1}{2} + o(n^{-4}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.77}$$

Likewise, using (2.76),

$$n^{-3} = \frac{r_{n,l}}{r_{n,l+1}} < \frac{|\hat{x}|}{r_{n,l+1}} < \frac{r_{n,l}+r_{n,l}^{\delta}}{r_{n,l+1}} < n^{-6}(n^3 + e^{-n(1-\delta)}n^{-3l(1-\delta)+3}) < n^{-3} + n^{-7}$$
$$\implies n^3 - \frac{n^3}{n^4+1} = n^3 - O(n^{-1}) < \frac{r_{n,l+1}}{|\hat{x}|} < n^3 \tag{2.78}$$
$$\implies \log\left(\frac{r_{n,l+1}}{|\hat{x}|}\right) = 3\log n + O(n^{-4}),$$

so by (2.74) and (2.78) we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_l &:= P^{\hat{x}}\left(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r'_{n,l-1}))} < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r_{n,l+1})^c)}; T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r'_{n,l-1}))} = T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0,r_{n,l-1})_{s_{l-1}})}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + o(n^{-4}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.79}$$

2.3.3 Application: Green's Functions, Hitting Times

We start calculating bounds for the external Green's function with $\hat{x} \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n/2))$, $\hat{y} \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))$: by (2.59) with $A = \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))$, (2.44), and (2.68),

$$\hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K((\partial D(0,n)_s)_K^c)}(\hat{x},\hat{y}) \le \hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))}(\hat{x},\hat{y}) + \frac{\rho_{\hat{x}}}{1-\rho_{\hat{y}}}\hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))}(\hat{y},\hat{y}). \tag{2.80}$$

In particular, if $\hat{y} = \hat{0}$ and $s = O(n)$, then $\rho_{\hat{x}} \le cn^{-2}$ and by (2.41),

$$\hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K((\partial D(0,n)_s)^c_K)}(\hat{x},\hat{0}) \le \hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))}(\hat{x},\hat{0}) + \frac{\rho_{\hat{x}}}{1-\rho_{\hat{0}}}\hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))}(\hat{0},\hat{0})$$
$$\implies \hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K((\partial D(0,n)_s)^c_K)}(\hat{x},\hat{0}) = \frac{2}{\pi_\Gamma}\log\left(\frac{n}{|\hat{x}|}\right) + C(\hat{p}_1) + O(|\hat{x}|^{-1/4}). \tag{2.81}$$

By (2.60), (2.51), and (2.69), for $\hat{x},\hat{y} \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n+s)^c_K)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K((\partial D(0,n)_s)^c_K)}(\hat{x},\hat{y}) &\le \hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n+s)^c_K)}(\hat{x},\hat{y}) + \frac{\phi_{\hat{x}}}{1-\phi_{\hat{y}}}\hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n+s)^c_K)}(\hat{y},\hat{y}) \\ &\le c(\log(|\hat{x}|\wedge|\hat{y}|))^2. \end{aligned}\tag{2.82}$$

Finally, for $\hat{x} \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n/2))$ and $\hat{y} \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n+s)^c_K)$, by (2.61), (2.66), (2.70), and the above,

$$\begin{aligned}&\hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K((\partial D(0,n)_s)^c_K)}(\hat{x},\hat{y}) \\ &\quad\le \min\left\{\frac{\sigma_x}{1-\rho_y}\hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))}(\hat{x},\hat{x}), \frac{\psi_x}{1-\phi_y}\hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n+s)^c_K)}(\hat{y},\hat{y})\right\} \\ &\quad\le c\,\min\left\{n^2(\log n)^3(s^{-M}+n^{-M}), (\log(|\hat{y}|))^2(s^{-M+2}\vee n^{-M+2})\right\}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.83}$$

In particular, if $s = O(n)$, then in this case $\hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K((\partial D(0,n)_s)^c_K)}(\hat{x},\hat{y}) \le cn^{-2}$, and if $s = O(\sqrt{n})$, the bound is $cn^{-\beta}$.

By (2.47) and (2.52), for $y \in D(0,n+s)^c \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$, the external planar annulus hitting time $E^y(T_{\partial D(0,n)_s}) = \infty$. Since, starting from inside the disc $x \in D(0,n)$, there is positive probability of hopping over an s-width annulus, then by the strong Markov property on $T_{D(0,n+s)^c}$, the internal planar annulus hitting time $E^x(T_{\partial D(0,n)_s}) = \infty$ as well. This is not the case for the toral analogues of these times.

Torally, our walk can make small or targeted jumps before the disc escape time. To bound the annulus hitting times, we employ (2.17), (2.53), and (2.62). These yield, for some $c, c' < \infty$,

$$f_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))} = \sup_{\hat{x}\in\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))} E^{\hat{x}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n)^c_K)}) \le cn^2, \tag{2.84}$$

$$f_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n+s)^c_K)} = \sup_{\hat{y}\in\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n+s)^c_K)} E^{\hat{y}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n+s))}) \le c'(K\log K)^2. \tag{2.85}$$

By (2.63), (2.64), (2.84), (2.85), (2.66), and (2.70), the expected annulus hitting time is

bounded above: if $\hat{x} \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n/2))$ and $\hat{y} \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n+s)^c_K)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}^{\hat{x}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0,n)_s)}) &\le \mathbb{E}^{\hat{x}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n)^c_K)}) + \psi_{\hat{x}}\left[\frac{f_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n+s)^c_K)} + \sigma f_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))}}{1-\psi\sigma}\right] \\ &\le \mathbb{E}^{\hat{x}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n)^c_K)}) + c\left(s^{-M+2} \vee n^{-M+2}\right)(K\log K)^2; \qquad (2.86)\\ \mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0,n)_s)}) &\le \mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n+s))}) + \sigma_{\hat{y}}\left[\frac{f_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))} + \psi f_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n+s)^c_K)}}{1-\psi\sigma}\right] \\ &\le c(K\log K)^2. \qquad (2.87)\end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $s, n = O(K)$, then for K sufficiently large, note that by (2.17),

$$\mathbb{E}^{\hat{x}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n)^c_K)}) = \frac{K^2 - |\hat{x}|^2}{\gamma^2} + O(K),$$

which, with $M = 4 + 2\beta$, reduces (2.86) to

$$\mathbb{E}^{\hat{x}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0,n)_s)}) = \left(1 + O(K^{-2-\beta})\right)\mathbb{E}^{\hat{x}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n)^c_K)}). \qquad (2.88)$$

3 Harnack Inequalities

Here we will quote and apply Harnack inequality results from [7] for use in our excursion treatments.

3.1 Interior Harnack inequalities

Our first interior Harnack inequality gives estimates on the probability, when escaping a large disc from deep inside it, of landing in an annulus close to the disc's boundary.

Proposition 3.1. *Uniformly for $1 \le m \ll r$, with $s \ll \frac{r}{4m}$, $x, x' \in D(0,2r)$, $R = 4mr$, and $y \in D(0,R)^c$,*

$$H_{D(0,R)^c}(x,y) = (1 + O(m^{-1}))H_{D(0,R)^c}(x',y) + O(R^{-M}\log R), \qquad (3.1)$$

where the error term is completely absorbed, i.e.*,*

$$H_{D(0,R)^c}(x,y) = (1 + O(m^{-1}))H_{D(0,R)^c}(x',y), \qquad (3.2)$$

if $s \le (\log R)^4$ and $y \in \partial D(0,R)_s$.

Furthermore, if $x \in \partial D(0,r)_r$ and $y \in D(0,R)^c$,

$$\begin{aligned}&P^x\left(S_{T_{D(0,R)^c}} = y,\, T_{D(0,R)^c} < T_{D\left(0,\frac{r}{4m}+s\right)}\right) \qquad (3.3)\\ &= (1 + O(m^{-1}))P^x\left(T_{D(0,R)^c} < T_{D\left(0,\frac{r}{4m}+s\right)}\right)H_{D(0,R)^c}(x,y) + O(R^{-M}\log R),\end{aligned}$$

with a similar loss of the error term if $y \in \partial D(0,R)_s$.

Proof See [7, Prop. 3.1]. □

Here is a focused result for our applications which follows directly.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $e^n \le r$, $R = n^3 r$ (*i.e., *$m = \frac{n^3}{4}$ for $R = 4mr$). Uniformly for $x, x' \in D(0, r+\sqrt{r})$ and $y \in \partial D(0,R)_{n^4}$,*

$$H_{D(0,R)^c}(x,y) = \left(1 + O\left(n^{-3}\right)\right) H_{D(0,R)^c}(x',y). \tag{3.4}$$

Furthermore, uniformly in $x \in \partial D(0,r)_{\sqrt{r}}$ and $y \in \partial D(0,R)_{n^4}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &P^x(S_{T_{D(0,R)^c}} = y, T_{D(0,R)^c} < T_{D(0,\frac{r}{n^3}+n^4)}) \\ &= \left(1 + O\left(n^{-3}\right)\right) P^x(T_{D(0,R)^c} < T_{D(0,\frac{r}{n^3}+n^4)}) H_{D(0,R)^c}(x,y). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

We now move these results to the torus.

Proposition 3.3. *For large r and $1 \le m \ll r$ such that $R = 4mr < K/6$ and $s \le (\log R)^4$, uniformly for $\hat{x}, \hat{x}' \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,2r))$ and $\hat{y} \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,R)_K^c)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,R)_K^c)}(\hat{x},\hat{y}) &= \left(1 + O\left(m^{-1}\right)\right) \hat{H}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,R)_K^c)}(\hat{x}',\hat{y}) \\ &\quad + O(R^{-M}\log R \vee K^{-M}R^2). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Furthermore, uniformly in $\hat{x} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0,r)_r)$ and $\hat{y} \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,R)_K^c)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &P^{\hat{x}}(\hat{S}_{T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,R)_K^c)}} = \hat{y}, T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,R)_K^c)} < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,\frac{r}{4m}+s))}) \\ &\quad = \left(1 + O\left(m^{-1}\right)\right) P^{\hat{x}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,R)_K^c)} < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,\frac{r}{4m}+s))}) \hat{H}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,R)_K^c)}(\hat{x},\hat{y}) \\ &\quad + O(R^{-M}\log R \vee K^{-M}R^2). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

If $\hat{y} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0,R)_s)$, the error term is absorbed in both of these statements.

Proof See [7, Prop. 3.2]. □

Corollary 3.4. *Let $n > 13$, $e^n \le r$, $R = n^3 r$ (*i.e., *$m = \frac{n^3}{4}$ for $R = 4mr$). Uniformly for $\hat{x}, \hat{x}' \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,2r))$, $K > 4(R+n^4)$, and $\hat{y} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0,R)_{n^4})$,*

$$\hat{H}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,R)_K^c)}(\hat{x},\hat{y}) = \left(1 + O\left(n^{-3}\right)\right) \hat{H}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,R)_K^c)}(\hat{x}',\hat{y}). \tag{3.8}$$

Furthermore, uniformly in $\hat{x} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0,r)_{\sqrt{r}})$ and $\hat{y} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0,R)_{n^4})$,

$$\begin{aligned} &P^{\hat{x}}(\hat{S}_{T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,R)_K^c)}} = \hat{y}, T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,R)_K^c)} < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,\frac{r}{n^3}+n^4))}) \\ &= \left(1 + O\left(n^{-3}\right)\right) P^{\hat{x}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,R)_K^c)} < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,\frac{r}{n^3}+n^4))}) \hat{H}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,R)_K^c)}(\hat{x},\hat{y}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

3.2 Exterior Harnack inequality

We now give general and applied Harnack inequalities for the plane and torus dealing with entering a small disc from far outside.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $R = 4mr$ with $1 \le m \ll r$ ($m = o(r^{1/4})$) and large enough r, and $s \le (\log R)^4$. Then, uniformly for $x, x' \in D(0,R)^c$ and $y \in \partial D(0,r)_s$,*

$$H_{D(0,r+s)}(x,y) = \left(1 + O\left(m^{-1}\log m\right)\right) H_{D(0,r+s)}(x',y). \tag{3.10}$$

Furthermore, for $x, x' \in \partial D(0,R)_{\sqrt{R}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &P^x(S_{T_{D(0,r+s)}} = y;\, T_{D(0,r+s)} < T_{D(0,4mR)^c}) \\ &\quad = \left(1 + O\left(m^{-1}\log m\right)\right) H_{D(0,r+s)}(x,y) P^x(T_{D(0,r+s)} < T_{D(0,4mR)^c}) \\ &\quad = \left(1 + O\left(m^{-1}\log m\right)\right) P^{x'}(S_{T_{D(0,r+s)}} = y; T_{D(0,r+s)} < T_{D(0,4mR)^c}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Proof See [7, Prop. 4.1]. □

We now fine-tune this result for our applications

Corollary 3.6. *As in Lemma 3.2, let $e^n \le r$, $R = 4mr = n^3 r$. Then, uniformly for $x, x' \in D(0,R)^c$ and $y \in \partial D(0,r)_{n^4}$,*

$$H_{D(0,r+n^4)}(x,y) = \left(1 + O\left(n^{-3}\log n\right)\right) H_{D(0,r+n^4)}(x',y). \tag{3.12}$$

Furthermore, for $x, x' \in \partial D(0,R)_{\sqrt{R}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} P^x(S_{T_{D(0,r+n^4)}} = y; T_{D(0,r+n^4)} < T_{D(0,n^3R)^c}) \\ = \left(1 + O\left(n^{-3}\log n\right)\right) H_{D(0,r+n^4)}(x,y) P^x(T_{D(0,r+n^4)} < T_{D(0,n^3R)^c}) \\ = \left(1 + O\left(n^{-3}\log n\right)\right) P^{x'}(S_{T_{D(0,r+n^4)}} = y; T_{D(0,r+n^4)} < T_{D(0,n^3R)^c}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

When attempting to move the planar exterior Harnack inequality to the torus, we run into difficulties in dealing with walks that wander and enter far-off copies of $D(0,r+s)$ instead of the primary copy. We modify the exterior Harnack inequality for the toral case to fit our requirements; (3.15) is a direct application of (3.14).

Proposition 3.7. *Let $R = 4mr$ with $1 \le m = o(r^{1/4})$ and large enough r, $4mR < K/4$, and $s \le (\log R)^4$. Then, uniformly for $\hat{x}, \hat{x}' \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0,R)_{\sqrt{R}})$ and $\hat{y} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0,r)_s)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &P^{\hat{x}}(\hat{S}_{T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r+s))}} = \hat{y};\, T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r+s))} < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,4mR)^c_K)}) \\ &\quad = \left(1 + O\left(m^{-1}\log m\right)\right) P^{\hat{x}'}(\hat{S}_{T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r+s))}} = \hat{y}; T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r+s))} < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,4mR)^c_K)}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Proof See [7, Prop. 4.2]. □

Corollary 3.8. *Let $e^n \le r$, $R = 4mr = n^3 r$. Then, uniformly for $\hat{x}, \hat{x}' \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0,R)_{\sqrt{R}})$ and $\hat{y} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0,r)_{n^4})$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &P^{\hat{x}}(\hat{S}_{T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r+n^4))}} = \hat{y};\, T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r+n^4))} < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n^3R)^c_K)}) \\ &\quad = \left(1 + O\left(n^{-3}\log n\right)\right) P^{\hat{x}'}(\hat{S}_{T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r+n^4))}} = \hat{y}; T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r+n^4))} < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n^3R)^c_K)}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

4 Excursions

In this section we find bounds on times of excursions between concentric annuli. As in [12], for any hitting time $\hat{T}$ on the torus $\mathbb{Z}^2_K$, we set

$$||\hat{T}|| := \sup_{\hat{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^2_K} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(\hat{T}).$$

By Kac's moment formula for the strong Markov process $\hat{S}_t$ (see [16, (6)]), we have for any t and $\hat{y}$,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(\hat{T}^k) \le k! \mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(\hat{T}) ||\hat{T}||^{k-1}. \tag{4.1}$$

4.1 Between a small annulus and far out

Let $R = 4mr$. In this section, when considering visits to $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^2_K$, we will consider excursions between a small annulus and the complement of a large disc, both centered at $\hat{x}$. Define the times

$$\tau^{(0)} = \inf\{t \ge 0 : \hat{S}_t \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)\}, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\sigma^{(1)} = \inf\{t \ge \tau^{(0)} : \hat{S}_t \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)^c_K)\}, \tag{4.3}$$

and inductively for $j = 1, 2, \ldots$, let

$$\tau^{(j)} = \inf\{t \ge \sigma^{(j)} : \hat{S}_{t+\mathfrak{T}_{j-1}} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)\}, \tag{4.4}$$

$$\sigma^{(j+1)} = \inf\{t \ge 0 : \hat{S}_{t+\mathfrak{T}_j} \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)^c_K)\}, \tag{4.5}$$

where $\mathfrak{T}_j = \sum_{i=0}^{j} \tau^{(i)}$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. Thus $\tau^{(j)}$ is the length of time of the jth excursion $\mathcal{E}_j$ from $\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s) \to \hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)^c_K) \to \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)$, and $\sigma^{(j)}$ is the amount of time it takes for the first leg of $\mathcal{E}_j$. From here on, set $\tau = \tau^{(1)}$.

Our first lemma gives bounds on these excursion times, and shows their concentration near the asymptotic limit.

Lemma 4.1. *Uniformly for $1 \le m < r$, $R = 4mr$, $cK^{1-\epsilon} = R \le \frac{K}{24}$ for some small $0 \le \epsilon \ll \min\{\beta, \frac{1}{2}\}$, and $(\log K)^2 < s < (\log R)^4$, $\exists\, c_1 < \infty$ such that $\forall \eta$: $1 \ge \eta \ge$*



Figure 3: A sample excursion $\mathcal{E}_j$.

$c_1\left(\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) + s^{-1} + K^{-2\beta-2\epsilon}(\log K)^2\right)$,

$$(1-\eta)\frac{2}{\pi_\Gamma}K^2\log\left(\frac{R}{r}\right) \leq \min_{\hat{x},\hat{y}\in\mathbb{Z}_K^2}\mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(\tau) \leq \max_{\hat{x},\hat{y}\in\mathbb{Z}_K^2}\mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(\tau) \leq (1+\eta)\frac{2}{\pi_\Gamma}K^2\log\left(\frac{R}{r}\right). \tag{4.6}$$

Proof Note that $\hat{x}$ is the center of the discs we will analyze. Let $\hat{S}_0$ be distributed uniformly on $\mathbb{Z}_K^2$. Then $\{\hat{S}_t\}$ is a stationary and ergodic stochastic process. By Birkhoff's ergodic theorem we then have that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\sum_{i=0}^{t}1_{\{\hat{x}\}}(\hat{S}_i) = \frac{1}{K^2} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Thus, with $\mathfrak{T}_{-1} = 0$,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{\frac{1}{t}\sum_{j=0}^{t}\sum_{i=0}^{\tau^{(j)}}1_{\{\hat{x}\}}(\hat{S}_{i+\mathfrak{T}_{j-1}})}{\frac{1}{t}\sum_{j=0}^{t}\tau^{(j)}} = \frac{1}{K^2} \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{4.7}$$

Let ρ be uniform measure on $\mathbb{Z}_K^2$, and for $j \geq 1$, let

$$Z_j := \tau^{(j)} - \mathbb{E}^{\rho}(\tau^{(j)}|\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{T}_{j-1}}) = \tau^{(j)} - \mathbb{E}^{\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{T}_{j-1}}}(\tau).$$

By the strong Markov property, $\{Z_j\}$ is an orthogonal sequence. Since any irreducible, aperiodic Markov chain with finite state space is positive recurrent, we have that $||T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)}||$,

$||T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)^c_K)}|| < \infty$, and using (4.1) we see that the sequence $\{\tau^{(j)}\}$ and hence $\{Z_j\}$ has uniformly bounded second moments. It follows from Rajchman's strong law of large numbers that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{j=1}^{t} [\tau^{(j)} - \mathbb{E}^{\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{T}_{j-1}}}(\tau)] = 0 \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{4.8}$$

Similarly, set $\sigma^{(0)} = \tau^{(0)}$ and for $j \geq 0$ let Y_j be the number of visits to $\hat{x}$ on the jth excursion $\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s) \to \hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)^c_K) \to \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)$:

$$Y_j := \sum_{i=0}^{\tau^{(j)}} 1_{\{\hat{x}\}}(\hat{S}_{i+\mathfrak{T}_{j-1}}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\sigma^{(j)}} 1_{\{\hat{x}\}}(\hat{S}_{i+\mathfrak{T}_{j-1}}) + \sum_{i=\sigma^{(j)}+1}^{\tau^{(j)}} 1_{\{\hat{x}\}}(\hat{S}_{i+\mathfrak{T}_{j-1}}). \tag{4.9}$$

Define

$$\tilde{Y}_j := Y_j - \mathbb{E}^{\rho}(Y_j|\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{T}_{j-1}}) = Y_j - \mathbb{E}^{\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{T}_{j-1}}}(Y_1).$$

By the strong Markov property, $\{\tilde{Y}_j\}$ is also an orthogonal sequence, and since $Y_j \leq \tau^{(j)}$, the sequence $\{\tilde{Y}_j\}$ also has uniformly bounded second moments. Thus, by Rajchman's strong law of large numbers,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{j=1}^{t} [Y_j - \mathbb{E}^{\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{T}_{j-1}}}(Y_1)] = 0 \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{4.10}$$

Let $\hat{y} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)$. To bound $\mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(Y_1)$ we need to consider the two sums in (4.9). By (2.20), (4.9), and the strong Markov property at $\sigma^{(1)}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(Y_1) = \hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R))}(\hat{y},\hat{x}) + \mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}\left(\hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K((\partial D(x,r)^c_s)_K)}\left(\hat{S}_{T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)^c_K)}}, \hat{x}\right)\right).$$

By (2.42), for some constant $c^* = c^*(\hat{p}_1)$, and any $\hat{y} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)$,

$$\hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R))}(\hat{y},\hat{x}) = \frac{2}{\pi_\Gamma} \log\left(\frac{R}{r}\right) + c^* + O(r^{-1/4}).$$

Also, $O(R) \leq |\hat{S}_{T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)^c_K)}} - \hat{x}| \leq O(K)$, so by (2.83) and $(\log K)^2 < s$,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}\left(\hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K((\partial D(x,r)^c_s)_K)}\left(\hat{S}_{T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)^c_K)}}, \hat{x}\right)\right) \leq c(\log K)^2 s^{-M+2} \leq c s^{-M+3} = o(s^{-1}).$$

Hence, for some finite universal constant $c_0 > 0$ and all allowable s,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{\pi_\Gamma} \log\left(\frac{R}{r}\right) + c^* - c_0 s^{-1} &\leq \min_{\hat{x}} \min_{\hat{y}\in\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(Y_1) \\ &\leq \max_{\hat{x}} \max_{\hat{y}\in\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(Y_1) \leq \frac{2}{\pi_\Gamma} \log\left(\frac{R}{r}\right) + c^* + c_0 s^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

With $\tau^{(0)}$ finite, we get by combining (4.7), (4.8), and (4.10) that, a.s.,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\frac{1}{t}\sum_{j=1}^{t} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{T}_{j-1}}}(\tau)}{\frac{1}{t}\sum_{j=1}^{t} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{T}_{j-1}}}(Y_1)} = K^2. \tag{4.12}$$

Consequently, in view of (4.11), for some universal constant c_2 and all $1 \geq \eta \geq c_2\left(s^{-1} + \frac{r}{R}\right)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\hat{y}\in\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(\tau) &\leq \frac{2}{\pi_\Gamma} K^2 \left(1 + \frac{\eta}{3}\right) \log\left(\frac{R}{r}\right) \\ \max_{\hat{y}\in\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(\tau) &\geq \frac{2}{\pi_\Gamma} K^2 \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{3}\right) \log\left(\frac{R}{r}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

For $\hat{y} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)$ we have $\tau^{(0)} = 0$ and by the strong Markov property at $\sigma^{(1)}$,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(\tau) = \mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)_K^c)}) + \sum_{\hat{z}\in\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)_K^c)} \hat{H}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)_K^c)}(\hat{y},\hat{z})\, \mathbb{E}^{\hat{z}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)}). \tag{4.14}$$

By (2.17) and $R = cK^{1-\epsilon}$,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)_K^c)}) = cK^{2-2\epsilon} + O(K^{1-\epsilon}) \tag{4.15}$$

for every $\hat{y} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{\hat{y}\in\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)_K^c)}) \\ &\qquad \leq \left(1 + O\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)\right) \min_{\hat{y}\in\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)_K^c)}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

For the sum in (4.14), the Harnack inequality (3.6) yields, for any $\hat{y}, \hat{y}' \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\hat{z}\in\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)_K^c)} \hat{H}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)_K^c)}(\hat{y},\hat{z}) \mathbb{E}^{\hat{z}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)}) \\ &\qquad = \left(1 + O\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)\right) \sum_{\hat{z}\in\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)_K^c)} \hat{H}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)_K^c)}(\hat{y}',\hat{z})\, \mathbb{E}^{\hat{z}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)}) \\ &\qquad + O(R^{-M}\log R \vee K^{-M}R^2) \sum_{\hat{z}\in\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R+s)_K^c)} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{z}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

The last term of (4.17) is zero if p_1 is finite range, by taking s large enough so, due to (3.6), the error term does not appear. Otherwise, the sum needs to be controlled: since $R = cK^{1-\epsilon}$ and $\epsilon \geq 0$ is small, the Harnack inequality error is bounded above by

$$cR^{-M}\log R = c'K^{-4-2\beta+\epsilon(4+2\beta)}\log K \ll cK^{-M}R^2 \quad = cK^{-4-2\beta+2-2\epsilon} = cK^{-2-2\beta-2\epsilon}$$

and by (2.87) with $R = cK^{1-\epsilon}$, the sum is bounded by $cK^{4-2\epsilon}(\log K)^2$. Together these,

with (4.15) and (4.16), bound the last term of (4.17):

$$c(R^{-M}\log R \vee K^{-M}R^2) \sum_{\hat{z}\in\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)^c_K)} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{z}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)}) \tag{4.18}$$
$$\leq cK^{2-2\beta-4\epsilon}(\log K)^2 \leq cK^{-2\beta-2\epsilon}(\log K)^2 \min_{\hat{y}\in\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)^c_K)}).$$

Hence, by (4.14)-(4.18),

$$\max_{\hat{y}\in\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(\tau) \leq \left(1 + O\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) + O(s^{-1}) \right. \tag{4.19}$$
$$\left. + O\left(K^{-2\beta-2\epsilon}(\log K)^2\right)\right) \min_{\hat{y}\in\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(\tau).$$

Taking also $c_1 \geq 3c_0$, we get (4.6) by combining (4.13) and (4.19). $\square$

The next corollary gives upper bounds for the hitting time of $\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)$, and improves on (2.53) for certain large radii.

Corollary 4.2. *With the same hypotheses as above,*

$$\max_{\hat{x}\in\mathbb{Z}^2_K} \max_{\hat{w}\in\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,R)_R)} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{w}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)}) \leq c_1K^2\log\left(\frac{R}{r}\right); \tag{4.20}$$

$$\max_{\hat{x}\in\mathbb{Z}^2_K} ||T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)}|| \leq c_1K^2\log\left(\frac{K}{r}\right). \tag{4.21}$$

Proof Consider (4.14) for $\hat{y} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)$ escaping to $\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,4R)^c_K)$ instead of $\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)^c_K)$, before returning. Then, by (4.6),

$$\sum_{\hat{z}\in\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,4R)^c_K)} \hat{H}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,4R)^c_K)}(\hat{y},\hat{z})\,\mathbb{E}^{\hat{z}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)})$$
$$\leq cK^2\log(4R/r) \leq c'K^2\log(R/r). \tag{4.22}$$

Using the strong Markov property at $T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,4R)^c_K)}$, (2.17), (3.6), (4.22), (2.87), and (4.18), we have for any $\hat{w} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,R)_R)$ and some universal $c < \infty$,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\hat{w}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)}) \leq \mathbb{E}^{\hat{w}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,4R)^c_K)}) \tag{4.23}$$
$$+ \mathbb{E}^{\hat{w}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)} - T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,4R)^c_K)}; T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)} > T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,4R)^c_K)})$$
$$\leq c\left[(4R+1)^2 + \sum_{\hat{z}\in\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,4R)^c_K)} \hat{H}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,4R)^c_K)}(\hat{w},\hat{z})\,\mathbb{E}^{\hat{z}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)})\right]$$

$$\leq c\Bigg[(4R+1)^2 + \sum_{\hat{z}\in\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,4R)^c_K)} \left[\left(1+O\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)\right)\hat{H}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,4R)^c_K)}(\hat{y},\hat{z})\right]\mathbb{E}^{\hat{z}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)})$$

$$+ O(R^{-M}\log R \vee K^{-M}R^2)\sum_{\hat{z}\in\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,4R+s)^c_K)}\mathbb{E}^{\hat{z}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)})\Bigg] \leq cK^2\log(R/r).$$

Setting $c_1 \geq c$, we have (4.20). (4.21) follows directly from (4.20), by considering S projected onto $\mathbb{Z}^2_{24K}$ instead of $\mathbb{Z}^2_K$ for the furthest-out points $\hat{w}$. Note that, for these $\hat{w}$ such that $|\hat{w}-\hat{x}| > \frac{K}{24}$ on $\mathbb{Z}^2_K$, (4.20) on $\mathbb{Z}^2_{24K}$ and the fact that annulus entrance takes longer on larger spaces,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\hat{w}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)}) \leq \mathbb{E}^{\hat{w}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_{24K}(\partial D(x,r)_s)}) \leq c(24K)^2\log(24K/r) \leq c_1K^2\log(K/r). \quad \square$$

4.2 Decoupling an excursion from its endpoints

Let $n > 13$ and set the following variables as defined in (2.75):

$$r_{n,k} = e^n n^{3k}, s_k = n^4, \qquad r'_{n,k} = r_{n,k} + s_k, \qquad k = 0,1,\ldots,n;$$
$$s^{n\downarrow}_{n-1} = \sqrt{r_{n,n-1}}$$

and set $K_n := n^{\overline{\gamma}}r_{n,n}$, where $\overline{\gamma} \in [b, b+4]$ for some $b = b(p_1) \geq 10$, to be determined in Section 5.

We say that, for a point $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^2_K$, and a path ω starting at $\hat{x}_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{K_n}$, $\hat{x}_0 \neq \hat{x}$, the path ω **does not skip $\hat{x}$-bands** if the path's entrances and exits from the $r_{n,k}$-sized concentric discs around $\hat{x}$ are made by small or annulus-targeted jumps, not by medium or large untargeted jumps. More formally, a path does not skip $\hat{x}$-bands for a specified period of time if, during that time, escapes from $\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,r_{n,k}))$ and entrances to $\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,r'_{n,k}))$ land in $\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r_{n,k})_{s_k})$[6].

By the strong Markov property, the only effect that one excursion between annuli has on another is via its beginning and ending points. In this section we build a structure in which to analyze the dependence on these endpoints for a special class of excursions.

The excursions we wish to examine are those from inside $\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r'_{n,l-1}))$ out to $\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r_{n,l})^c_K)$ prior to "one larger" disc escape at $T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r_{n,l+1})^c_K)}$. Consider a random path starting between these sets at $\hat{z} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0,r_{n,l})_{s_l})$. Focusing on annulus-based excursion end points $\hat{w} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0,r_{n,l+1})_{s_{l+1}})$ and l large, let $\mathcal{H}_{n,l-1\uparrow l}$ be the σ-algebra of outward excursions $\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r'_{n,l-1})) \to \hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r_{n,l})^c_K)$ prior to $T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r_{n,l+1})^c_K)}$. Let $\tau_0 = 0$, and for

[6] That is, with the exception of level $n-1$: entrances to $\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,r_{n,n-1}+s^{n\downarrow}_{n-1}))$ land in the thicker band $\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r_{n,n-1})_{s^{n\downarrow}_{n-1}})$. This is for the purposes of re-entering the level structure from the outermost level n; see (5.22) for details, and assume this notation for excursions from level n down to level $n-1$ if it is not mentioned.

$i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, define the excursion endpoint times

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{2i+1} &= \inf\{k \geq \tau_{2i} : \hat{S}_k \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(0, r'_{n,l-1})) \cup \hat{\pi}_K(D(0, r_{n,l+1})^c_K)\} \\ \tau_{2i+2} &= \inf\{k \geq \tau_{2i+1} : \hat{S}_k \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(0, r_{n,l})^c_K)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Abbreviating $\overline{\tau} = T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r_{n,l+1})^c_K)}$, note that $\overline{\tau} = \tau_{2I+1}$ for some (unique) non-negative integer I. Then $\mathcal{H}_{n,l-1\uparrow l}$ is the σ-algebra generated by the excursions $\{\hat{e}_{(j)} : j = 1, \ldots, I\}$, where $\hat{e}_{(j)} = \{\hat{S}_k : \tau_{2j-1} \leq k \leq \tau_{2j}\}$ is the jth excursion $\hat{\pi}_K(D(0, r'_{n,l-1})) \to \hat{\pi}_K(D(0, r_{n,l})^c_K)$. (The event $\{I = 0\}$ is, of course, also included.)



Figure 4: Sample excursions - $\hat{e}_{(1)}$ is between $\hat{S}_{\tau_1}$ and $\hat{S}_{\tau_2}$. $I = 2$ for this path.

Let $\mathcal{F}_j = \sigma(\hat{S}_k : k = 0, 1, \ldots, j)$, and for any stopping time τ, let $\mathcal{F}_\tau$ denote the collection of events A such that $A \cap \{\tau = j\} \in \mathcal{F}_j$ for all j.

We will focus on paths which do not skip $\hat{x}$-bands over a number of concentric annulus excursions. Let $\Omega^{i-1,\ldots,j}_{\hat{x},n,l+1,m}$ denote the set of paths which do not skip $\hat{x}$-bands on excursions between levels $k = i-1, i, \ldots, j$ until completion of the first m outward excursions from $\hat{\pi}_K(D(x, r'_{n,l})) \to \hat{\pi}_K(D(x, r_{n,l+1})^c_K)$, and $\Omega^A_{\hat{x},n,l+1,m}$ the same for the levels in the index set A. Our first lemma shows that excursion paths faithful to hitting $\hat{x}$-bands are "almost" independent of their beginning and ending points.

Lemma 4.3. *Uniformly in l, n, K_n, $B_n \in \mathcal{H}_{n,l-1\uparrow l}$, $\hat{z}, \hat{z}' \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0, r_{n,l})_{s_l})$, and $\hat{w} \in$*

$\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0, r_{n,l+1})_{s_{l+1}})$,

$$P^{\hat{z}}\left(B_n \cap \Omega^{l-1,l,l+1}_{\hat{0},n,l+1,1} \,\middle|\, \hat{S}_{T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r_{n,l+1})^c_K)}} = \hat{w}\right) \tag{4.24}$$
$$= (1 + O(n^{-3}))P^{\hat{z}}\left(B_n \cap \Omega^{l-1,l,l+1}_{\hat{0},n,l+1,1}\right)$$

and

$$P^{\hat{z}}\left(B_n \cap \Omega^{l-1,l,l+1}_{\hat{0},n,l+1,1}\right) = (1 + O(n^{-3}\log n))P^{\hat{z}'}\left(B_n \cap \Omega^{l-1,l,l+1}_{\hat{0},n,l+1,1}\right). \tag{4.25}$$

Proof Fixing a starting point $\hat{z} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0, r_{n,l})_{s_l})$, it suffices to consider $B_n \in \mathcal{H}_{n,l-1\uparrow l}$ such that $P^{\hat{z}}(B_n) > 0$. Fix such a set B_n and an ending point $\hat{w} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0, r_{n,l+1})_{s_{l+1}})$. Using the notation just introduced, for any $i \geq 1$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&B_n \cap \Omega^{l-1,l,l+1}_{\hat{0},n,l+1,1} \cap \{I = i\} \\
&\quad = B_{n,i} \cap A_i \cap \{\tau_{2i} < \overline{\tau}\} \cap (\{I = 0, \hat{S}_{\overline{\tau}} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0, r_{n,l+1})_{s_{l+1}})\} \circ \theta_{\tau_{2i}})
\end{aligned}$$

for some $B_{n,i} \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau_{2i}}$, where

$$A_i = \{\hat{S}_{\tau_{2j-1}} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0, r_{n,l-1})_{s_{l-1}}),\, \hat{S}_{\tau_{2j}} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0, r_{n,l})_{s_l}), \forall j \leq i\} \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau_{2i}},$$

so by the strong Markov property at τ_{2i},

$$\begin{aligned}
&P^{\hat{z}}(\{\hat{S}_{\overline{\tau}} = \hat{w}\} \cap B_n \cap \Omega^{l-1,l,l+1}_{\hat{0},n,l+1,1} \cap \{I = i\}) \\
&\quad = \mathbb{E}^{\hat{z}}[P^{\hat{S}_{\tau_{2i}}}(\hat{S}_{\overline{\tau}} = \hat{w};\, I = 0); B_{n,i} \cap A_i \cap \{\tau_{2i} < \overline{\tau}\}]; \\
&P^{\hat{z}}(B_n \cap \Omega^{l-1,l,l+1}_{\hat{0},n,l+1,1} \cap \{I = i\}) \\
&\quad = \mathbb{E}^{\hat{z}}[P^{\hat{S}_{\tau_{2i}}}(\hat{S}_{\overline{\tau}} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0, r_{n,l+1})_{s_{l+1}});\, I = 0); B_{n,i} \cap A_i \cap \{\tau_{2i} < \overline{\tau}\}].
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, for all $i \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&P^{\hat{z}}(\{\hat{S}_{\overline{\tau}} = \hat{w}\} \cap B_n \cap \Omega^{l-1,l,l+1}_{\hat{0},n,l+1,1} \cap \{I = i\}) \qquad (4.26)\\
&\geq P^{\hat{z}}(B_n \cap \Omega^{l-1,l,l+1}_{\hat{0},n,l+1,1} \cap \{I = i\}) \min_{\hat{x} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0, r_{n,l})_{s_l})} \frac{P^{\hat{x}}(\hat{S}_{\overline{\tau}} = \hat{w}; I = 0)}{P^{\hat{x}}(\hat{S}_{\overline{\tau}} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0, r_{n,l+1})_{s_{l+1}}); I = 0)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\{I = 0\} = \{\tau = T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r_{n,l+1})^c_K)} < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r'_{n,l-1}))}\}.$$

Necessarily, $P^{\hat{z}}(B_n | I = 0) \in \{0, 1\}$ and is independent of $\hat{z}$ for any $B_n \in \mathcal{H}_{n,l-1\uparrow l}$, implying that (4.26) applies for $i = 0$ as well. Hence, by (3.9) and (3.8), there exists $c < \infty$ such that for any $\hat{z}, \hat{x} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0, r_{n,l})_{s_l})$ and $\hat{w} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0, r_{n,l+1})_{s_{l+1}})$,

$$\frac{P^{\hat{x}}(\hat{S}_{\overline{\tau}} = \hat{w}; I = 0)}{P^{\hat{x}}(\hat{S}_{\overline{\tau}} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0, r_{n,l+1})_{s_{l+1}}); I = 0)} \geq (1 - cn^{-3})\hat{H}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r_{n,l+1})^c_K)}(\hat{z}, \hat{w}).$$

We note that, since (3.9) and (3.8) accommodate starting points up to a square root of the distance away from their level's starting radius of $r_{n,l}$, this bound is good for even the wide band $s^{n\downarrow}_{n-1} = \sqrt{r_{n,n-1}} \ll r_{n,n-1}$ as a starting point (this is the case $l = n-1$).

Hence, summing (4.26) over $I = 0, 1, \ldots$, we get that

$$P^{\hat{z}}(\{\hat{S}_{\overline{\tau}} = \hat{w}\} \cap B_n \cap \Omega^{l-1,l,l+1}_{\hat{0},n,l+1,1}) \geq (1 - cn^{-3}) P^{\hat{z}}(B_n \cap \Omega^{l-1,l,l+1}_{\hat{0},n,l+1,1}) \hat{H}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r_{n,l+1})^c_K)}(\hat{z}, \hat{w}).$$

A similar argument shows that

$$P^{\hat{z}}(\{\hat{S}_{\overline{\tau}} = \hat{w}\} \cap B_n \cap \Omega^{l-1,l,l+1}_{\hat{0},n,l+1,1}) \leq (1 + cn^{-3}) P^{\hat{z}}(B_n \cap \Omega^{l-1,l,l+1}_{\hat{0},n,l+1,1}) \hat{H}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r_{n,l+1})^c_K)}(\hat{z}, \hat{w}),$$

and we obtain (4.24).

By the strong Markov property at τ_1, for any $\hat{z} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0, r_{n,l})_{s_l})$,

$$\begin{aligned} P^{\hat{z}}(B_n \cap \Omega^{l-1,l,l+1}_{\hat{0},n,l+1,1}) &= P^{\hat{z}}(B_n \cap \Omega^{l-1,l,l+1}_{\hat{0},n,l+1,1} \cap \{I = 0\}) \\ &+ \sum_{\hat{x} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0,r_{n,l-1})_{s_{l-1}})} \hat{H}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r'_{n,l-1}))\cup\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,r_{n,l+1})^c)}(\hat{z}, \hat{x}) P^{\hat{x}}(B_n \cap \Omega^{l-1,l,l+1}_{\hat{0},n,l+1,1}). \end{aligned}$$

The first term is handled by (2.37). (4.25) then follows from (3.15). □

Next, we examine excursions going inward: let $\mathcal{G}^{\hat{x}}_{n,l+1\downarrow l}$ denote the σ-algebra of excursions from $\hat{\pi}_K(D(x, r_{n,l+1})^c_{K_n})$ into $\hat{\pi}_K(D(x, r'_{n,l}))$. To this end, let $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{K_n}$, let $\overline{\tau}_0 = 0$ and for $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ define

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i &= \inf\{k \geq \overline{\tau}_{i-1} : \hat{S}_k \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(x, r'_{n,l}))\}, \\ \overline{\tau}_i &= \inf\{k \geq \tau_i : \hat{S}_k \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(x, r_{n,l+1})^c_{K_n})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathcal{G}^{\hat{x}}_{n,l+1\downarrow l}$ is the σ-algebra generated by the excursions $\{\hat{e}^{(j)} : j = 1, \ldots\}$, where $\hat{e}^{(j)} = \{\hat{S}_k : \overline{\tau}_{j-1} \leq k \leq \tau_j\}$ is the jth excursion $\hat{\pi}_K(D(x, r_{n,l+1})^c_{K_n}) \to \hat{\pi}_K(D(x, r'_{n,l}))$ (so for $j = 1$ we begin at $t = 0$).

Let $\mathcal{H}^{\hat{x}}_{n,l-1\uparrow l}(m)$ be the σ-algebra of excursions from $\hat{\pi}_K(D(x, r'_{n,l-1}))$ out to $\hat{\pi}_K(D(x, r_{n,l})^c_{K_n})$ during the first m excursions from $\hat{\pi}_K(D(x, r'_{n,l}))$ out to $\hat{\pi}_K(D(x, r_{n,l+1})^c_{K_n})$, *i.e.*, from τ_1 to $\overline{\tau}_m$. In more detail, for each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, let $\overline{\zeta_{j,0}} = \tau_j$ and for $i = 1, \ldots$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{j,i} &= \inf\{k \geq \overline{\zeta}_{j,i} : \hat{S}_k \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(x, r'_{n,l-1}))\}, \\ \overline{\zeta}_{j,i} &= \inf\{k \geq \zeta_{j,i} : \hat{S}_k \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(x, r_{n,l})^c_{K_n})\}, \\ v_{j,i} &= \{\hat{S}_k : \zeta_{j,i} \leq k \leq \overline{\zeta_{j,i}}\}, \\ Z^j &= \sup\{i \geq 0 : \overline{\zeta}_{j,i} < \overline{\tau}_j\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathcal{H}^{\hat{x}}_{n,l-1\uparrow l}(m)$ is the σ-algebra generated by the intersection of the σ-algebras $\mathcal{H}^{\hat{x}}_{n,l,j} = \sigma(v_{j,i} : i = 1, 2, \ldots, Z^j)$ of the excursions between τ_j and $\overline{\tau}_j$, for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$.

Lemma 4.4. *There exists $C < \infty$ such that, uniformly over all $m \leq (n \log n)^2$, $l, \hat{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{K_n}$*

and $\hat{y}_0, \hat{y}_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{K_n} \setminus \hat{\pi}_K(D(x, r'_{n,l}))$, *and* $H \in \mathcal{H}^{\hat{x}}_{n,l-1\uparrow l}(m)$,

$$\begin{aligned}&(1 - Cmn^{-3}\log n)P^{\hat{y}_1}(H \cap \Omega^{l-1,l,l+1}_{\hat{x},n,l+1,m}) \\ &\quad \le P^{\hat{y}_0}(H \cap \Omega^{l-1,l,l+1}_{\hat{x},n,l+1,m} | \mathcal{G}^{\hat{x}}_{n,l+1\downarrow l}) \le (1 + Cmn^{-3}\log n)P^{\hat{y}_1}(H \cap \Omega^{l-1,l,l+1}_{\hat{x},n,l+1,m}).\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

Proof Applying the Monotone Class Theorem to the algebra of their finite disjoint unions, it suffices to prove (4.27) for the generators of the σ-algebra $\mathcal{H}^{\hat{x}}_{n,l-1\uparrow l}(m)$ of the form $H = H_1 \cap H_2 \cap \cdots \cap H_m$, with $H_j \in \mathcal{H}^{\hat{x}}_{n,l,j}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, m$. Conditioned upon $\mathcal{G}^{\hat{x}}_{n,l+1\downarrow l}$, the events H_j are independent. Further, each H_j then has the conditional law of an event B_j in the σ-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{n,l-1\uparrow l}$ of Lemma 4.3, for some random end points $\hat{z}_j = \hat{S}_{\tau_j} - \hat{x} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0, r_{n,l})_{s_l})$ and $\hat{w}_j = \hat{S}_{\overline{\tau}_j} - \hat{x} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0, r_{n,l+1})_{s_{l+1}})$, both measurable on $\mathcal{G}^{\hat{x}}_{n,l+1\downarrow l}$. By our conditions, the uniform estimates (4.24) and (4.25) yield that for any fixed $\hat{z}' \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(0, r_{n,l})_{s_l})$,

$$\begin{aligned}P^{\hat{y}_0}(H \cap \Omega^{l-1,l,l+1}_{\hat{x},n,l+1,m} | \mathcal{G}^{\hat{x}}_{n,l+1\downarrow l}) &= P^{\hat{y}_0}(\cap_{j=1}^m (H_j \cap \Omega^{l-1,l,l+1}_{\hat{x},n,l+1,1}) | \mathcal{G}^{\hat{x}}_{n,l+1\downarrow l}) \\ &= \textstyle\prod_{j=1}^m P^{\hat{z}_j}(B_j \cap \Omega^{l-1,l,l+1}_{\hat{x},n,l+1,1} | \hat{S}_{T_{D(0,r_{n,l})^c}} = \hat{w}_j) \\ &= \textstyle\prod_{j=1}^m (1 + O(n^{-3}))P^{\hat{z}_j}(B_j \cap \Omega^{l-1,l,l+1}_{\hat{x},n,l+1,1}) \\ &= (1 + O(n^{-3}\log n))^m \textstyle\prod_{j=1}^m P^{\hat{z}'}(B_j \cap \Omega^{l-1,l,l+1}_{\hat{x},n,l+1,1}).\end{aligned}$$

Since $m \le (n \log n)^2$ and the last expression above neither depends on $\hat{y}_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^2_K$ nor on the extra information in $\mathcal{G}^{\hat{x}}_{n,l+1\downarrow l}$, we get (4.27). $\square$

Now that we have control over the excursion structure of paths that do not skip $\hat{x}$-bands, we will control their layered excursion counts. Fix $0 < a < 2$, and define $v_k = v_k(a) := 3ak^2 \log k$ for $k = 2, 3, ..., n$, and $N^{\hat{x}}_{n,l}$, $l = 2, \ldots, n-1$, as the number of excursions from $\hat{\pi}_K(D(x, r'_{n,l-1}))$ out to $\hat{\pi}_K(D(x, r_{n,l})^c_{K_n})$ until time $\mathcal{R}^{\hat{x}}_n(a)$, the time that v_n excursions from $\hat{\pi}_K(D(x, r_{n,n-1}))$ out to $\hat{\pi}_K(D(x, r_{n,n})^c_{K_n})$ have been completed. Let $m \overset{k}{\sim} v$ denote the bound $|m - v| \le k$. Finally, let $N^{\hat{x}}_{n,0}$ be the number of visits to $\hat{x}$ before $\mathcal{R}^{\hat{x}}_n(a)$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let* $\Gamma^{\hat{y}}_{n,l} := \{N^{\hat{y}}_{n,i} = m_i : i = 0, 2, \ldots, l-1\} \cap \Omega^{1,\ldots,l}_{\hat{y},n,l+1,m_l}$. *Then, for any* $1 < n_0 < n$, *uniformly over all* $n_0 \le l \le n-1$, $m_l \overset{l}{\sim} v_l$, $\{m_i : i = 0, 2, \ldots, l\}$, $\hat{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{K_n}$, *and* $\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{K_n} \setminus \hat{\pi}_K(D(y, r'_{n,l}))$,

$$\begin{aligned}&P^{\hat{x}_0}(\Gamma^{\hat{y}}_{n,l}, N^{\hat{y}}_{n,l} = m_l | \mathcal{G}^{\hat{y}}_{n,l\downarrow l-1}) \\ &\quad = (1 + O(n^{-1}(\log n)^2))P^{\hat{x}_1}(\Gamma^{\hat{y}}_{n,l} | N^{\hat{y}}_{n,l} = m_l) 1_{\{N^{\hat{y}}_{n,l} = m_l\}}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

Proof For $j = 1, 2, \ldots$ and $i = 2, \ldots, l$, let Z^j_i denote the number of excursions from $\hat{\pi}_K(D(x, r'_{n,i}))$ out to $\hat{\pi}_K(D(x, r_{n,i+1})^c_{K_n})$ by the random walk during the time interval $[\tau_j, \overline{\tau_j}]$. The event

$$H = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{m_l} Z^j_i = m_i : i = 2, \ldots, l-1 \right\} \cap \Omega^{2,\ldots,l-1}_{\hat{y},n,l,m_{l-1}}$$

belongs to the σ-algebra $\mathcal{H}^{\hat{y}}_{n,l-1\uparrow l}(m_l)$ of Lemma 4.4. It is easy to verify that, starting at any $\hat{x}_0 \notin \hat{\pi}_K(D(y, r'_{n,l}))$, when the event $\{N^{\hat{y}}_{n,l} = m_l\} \in \mathcal{G}^{\hat{y}}_{n,l\downarrow l-1}$ occurs, it implies that $N^{\hat{y}}_{n,i} = \sum_{j=1}^{m_l} Z^j_i$ for $i = 2, \ldots, l$. Thus, setting $H' = H \cap \Omega^{l-1,l,l+1}_{\hat{y},n,l+1,m_l}$,

$$P^{\hat{x}_0}(\Gamma^{\hat{y}}_{n,l}|\mathcal{G}^{\hat{y}}_{n,l\downarrow l-1})1_{\{N^{\hat{y}}_{n,l}=m_l\}} = P^{\hat{x}_0}(H'|\mathcal{G}^{\hat{y}}_{n,l\downarrow l-1})1_{\{N^{\hat{y}}_{n,l}=m_l\}}. \tag{4.29}$$

With $m_l/(l^2 \log l)$ bounded above, by (4.27) we have, uniformly in $\hat{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{K_n}$ and $\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{K_n} \setminus \hat{\pi}_K(D(y, r'_{n,l}))$,

$$P^{\hat{x}_0}(H'|\mathcal{G}^{\hat{y}}_{n,l\downarrow l-1}) = (1 + O(n^{-1}(\log n)^2))P^{\hat{x}_0}(H'). \tag{4.30}$$

Hence,

$$P^{\hat{x}_0}(\Gamma^{\hat{y}}_{n,l}|\mathcal{G}^{\hat{y}}_{n,l\downarrow l-1})1_{\{N^{\hat{y}}_{n,l}=m_l\}} = (1 + O(n^{-1}(\log n)^2))P^{\hat{x}_1}(H')1_{\{N^{\hat{y}}_{n,l}=m_l\}}. \tag{4.31}$$

Setting $\hat{x}_0 = \hat{x}_1$ and taking expectations with respect to $P^{\hat{x}_0}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} P^{\hat{x}_1}(\Gamma^{\hat{y}}_{n,l}|N^{\hat{y}}_{n,l} = m_l) &= (1 + O(n^{-1}(\log n)^2))P^{\hat{x}_1}(H') \qquad (4.32)\\ \implies P^{\hat{x}_1}(\Gamma^{\hat{y}}_{n,l}|N^{\hat{y}}_{n,l} = m_l)1_{\{N^{\hat{y}}_{n,l}=m_l\}} &= (1 + O(n^{-1}(\log n)^2))P^{\hat{x}_1}(H')1_{\{N^{\hat{y}}_{n,l}=m_l\}} \\ &= (1 + O(n^{-1}(\log n)^2))P^{\hat{x}_0}(\Gamma^{\hat{y}}_{n,l}|\mathcal{G}^{\hat{y}}_{n,l\downarrow l-1})1_{\{N^{\hat{y}}_{n,l}=m_l\}} \end{aligned}$$

where we used (4.31) for the last equality. With $\{N^{\hat{y}}_{n,l} = m_l\} \in \mathcal{G}^{y}_{n,l\downarrow l-1}$, this is (4.28). □

5 Late Points

We define the *cover time* of $\mathbb{Z}^2_K$ by the random walk $\hat{S}$ to be the maximum first visiting time over all points in $\mathbb{Z}^2_K$: if $\mathcal{T}_K(\hat{x}) = \inf\{t \geq 0 : \hat{S}_t = \hat{x}\}$ is the first time visiting $\hat{x}$, then the cover time of $\mathbb{Z}^2_K$ is

$$\mathcal{T}_{cov}(\mathbb{Z}^2_K) := \max_{\hat{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^2_K} \mathcal{T}_K(\hat{x}). \tag{5.1}$$

In [11], Dembo, Peres, Rosen, and Zeitouni showed that the cover time of $\mathbb{Z}^2_K$ for simple random walk is asymptotic to $\frac{4}{\pi}(K \log K)^2$ as $K \to \infty$. This result was found via strong approximation techniques to Brownian motion. The team reproduced this result via purely random walk methods in [12], along with a multifractal analysis of the late points of the torus. Here we generalize results from [3] and [12] to gain similar results for toral random walks with jumps of infinite range.

Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Anticipating the result, we call $\hat{x}$ an α, K-*late point* of the random walk $\hat{S}$

on $\mathbb{Z}_K^2$ if $\mathcal{T}_K(\hat{x}) \geq \frac{4\alpha}{\pi_\Gamma}(K\log K)^2$. Set $\mathcal{L}_K(\alpha)$ to be the set of α, K-late points in $\mathbb{Z}_K^2$, *i.e.*,

$$\mathcal{L}_K(\alpha) := \left\{ \hat{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_K^2 : \frac{\mathcal{T}_K(\hat{x})}{(K\log K)^2} \geq \frac{4\alpha}{\pi_\Gamma} \right\}.$$

5.1 Upper bound of late point probabilities

First we show that excursion times are concentrated around their mean, and relate excursions to hitting times.

Lemma 5.1. *With the notation of Lemma 4.1, we can find $\delta_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that, if $R \leq K/24$ and $\delta \leq \delta_0$ with $\delta \leq 6c_1(s^{-1} + r/R)$, then for all $\hat{x}, \hat{x}_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_K^2$,*

$$P^{\hat{x}_0}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{N} \tau^{(j)} \leq (1-\delta)N\frac{2K^2\log(R/r)}{\pi_\Gamma} \right) \leq e^{-C\delta^2 N(\log(R/r)/\log(K/r))} \tag{5.2}$$

and

$$P^{\hat{x}_0}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{N} \tau^{(j)} \geq (1+\delta)N\frac{2K^2\log(R/r)}{\pi_\Gamma} \right) \leq e^{-C\delta^2 N(\log(R/r)/\log(K/r))}. \tag{5.3}$$

Proof With $\tau = \tau^{(1)} = \left\{ T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)_K^c)} + T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)} \circ \theta_{T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)_K^c)}} \right\} \circ \theta_{T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{\hat{y}\in\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(\tau^n) &\leq \max_{\hat{y}\in\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}\left(\left\{ T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)_K^c)} + T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)} \circ \theta_{T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)_K^c)}} \right\}^n \right) \\
&\leq \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} \max_{y\in\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}\left(T^j_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)_K^c)} \left(T^{n-j}_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)} \circ \theta_{T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)_K^c)}} \right) \right) \\
&\leq \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} \max_{\hat{y}\in\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(T^j_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)_K^c)}) \max_{\hat{z}\in\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)_K^c)} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{z}}(T^{n-j}_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $u = \frac{2K^2}{\pi_\Gamma}\log(K/r)$ and $u' = \frac{2K^2}{\pi_\Gamma}\log(R/r)$. Then, by (4.1), (4.20), (2.17), and (4.21), we can bound the moments of τ: there exist universal constants $c_1, c_2 < \infty$ such that for all $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_K^2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{\hat{y}\in\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(\tau^n) &\leq \max_{\hat{y}\in\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)_K^c)}) ||T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)_K^c)}||^{n-1} n! \\
&\quad + 2c_1 \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} n! ||T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)_K^c)}||^j u' ||T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)}||^{n-j-1} \\
&\leq (n+1)! u' (c_2 u)^{n-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Taking $\eta = \delta/6 > 0$, with our choice of r and R, it thus follows by (4.6) that for $\rho = c_3 u u'$

and all $\theta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\hat{x}} \max_{\hat{y}\in\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(e^{-\theta\tau}) &\le 1 - \theta \min_{\hat{x}} \min_{\hat{y}\in\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(\tau) \\ &\quad + \tfrac{\theta^2}{2} \max_{\hat{x}} \max_{\hat{y}\in\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(\tau^2) \\ &\le 1 - \theta(1-\eta)u' + \rho\theta^2 \\ &\le \exp(\rho\theta^2 - \theta(1-\eta)u'). \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Since $\tau^{(0)} \ge 0$, using Markov's inequality, we bound the left-hand side of (5.2) by

$$\begin{aligned} P^{\hat{x}_0}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \tau^{(j)} \le (1-6\eta)u'N\right) &\le e^{\theta(1-3\eta)u'N} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{x}_0}(e^{-\theta\sum_{j=1}^{N}\tau^{(j)}}) \\ &\le e^{-\theta u' N\delta/3} \left[e^{\theta(1-\eta)u'} \max_{\hat{y}\in\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(e^{-\theta\tau})\right]^N, \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

where the last inequality follows by the strong Markov property of $\hat{S}_t$ on $\{\mathfrak{T}_j\}$. Combining (5.5) and (5.6) for $\theta = \delta u'/(6\rho)$ results in (5.2) for $C = 1/(36c_3)$.

Since $\tau^{(0)} = T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)}$, by (4.1) and (4.21), there exist universal constants c_4, $c_5 < \infty$ such that

$$\max_{\hat{x},\hat{y}} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(e^{\tau^{(0)}/c_4 u}) \le c_5.$$

This implies

$$P^{\hat{x}_0}\left(\tau^{(0)} \ge \frac{\delta}{3}u'N\right) = P^{\hat{x}_0}\left(\frac{\tau^{(0)}}{c_4 u} \ge \frac{\delta}{3c_4}\frac{u'}{u}N\right) \le c_5 e^{(-3c_4)^{-1}\delta(u'/u)N}.$$

Thus, the proof of (5.3), like in (5.2), comes down to bounding

$$P^{\hat{x}_0}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \tau^{(j)} \ge (1+4\eta)u'N\right) \le e^{-\theta u' N\delta/3} \left[e^{-\theta(1+2\eta)u'} \max_{\hat{y}\in\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)} \mathbb{E}^{y}(e^{\theta\tau})\right]^N.$$

Noting that, by (5.4) and (4.6), there exists a universal constant $c_6 < \infty$ such that for $\rho = c_6 u u'$ and all $0 < \theta < 1/(2c_2 u)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\hat{x}} \max_{\hat{y}\in\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(e^{\theta\tau}) &\le 1 + \theta \max_{\hat{y}\in\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(\tau) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\theta^n}{n!} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{y}}(\tau^n) \\ &\le 1 + \theta(1+2\eta)u' + \rho\theta^2 \\ &\le \exp(\theta(1+2\eta)u' + \rho\theta^2). \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Taking $\delta_0 < 3c_6/c_2$, the proof of (5.3) now follows that of (5.2). □

Next we apply Lemma 5.1 to bound the upper tail of $\mathcal{T}_K(\hat{x})$, the first hitting time of $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_K^2$.

Lemma 5.2. *For any $\delta > 0$ we can find $c < \infty$ and $K_0 < \infty$ such that, for all $K \ge K_0$,*

$b \geq 0$, *and* $\hat{x}, \hat{x}_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_K^2$,

$$P^{\hat{x}_0}\left(\mathcal{T}_K(\hat{x}) \geq b(K\log K)^2\right) \leq cK^{-(1-\delta)\pi_\Gamma b/2}. \tag{5.8}$$

Proof Fix $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$, where δ_0 is from Lemma 5.1. Let $R = \frac{K}{24}$ and $r = R/\log K$. Then Lemma 5.1 applies for all $K \geq K_0$ and some $K_0 = K_0(\delta) < \infty$. Fixing $b \geq 0$ and such K, let

$$n_K := (1-\delta)\frac{\pi_\Gamma b(\log K)^2}{2\log(R/r)} = (1-\delta)\frac{\pi_\Gamma b(\log K)^2}{2\log\log K}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} P^{\hat{x}_0}\left(\mathcal{T}_K(\hat{x}) \geq b(K\log K)^2\right) \leq\ & P^{\hat{x}_0}\left(\mathcal{T}_K(\hat{x}) \geq \sum_{j=0}^{n_K}\tau^{(j)}\right) \\ & + P^{\hat{x}_0}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n_K}\tau^{(j)} \geq b(K\log K)^2\right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

The first probability in the sum in (5.9) is the probability of not hitting $\hat{x}$ during the first n_K consecutive $\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s) \to \hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)_K^c) \to \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)$ excursions. By (2.34),

$$P^{\hat{x}_1}\left(T_{\hat{x}} < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)_K^c)}\right) = \left[\frac{\log(R/r) + O(r^{-1/4})}{\log(R)}\right]\left(1 + O(\log(R)^{-1})\right) \tag{5.10}$$

uniformly for $\hat{x}_1 \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)$. For any $\hat{x}_2 \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)_K^c)$,

$$P^{\hat{x}_2}\left(T_{\hat{x}} < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)}\right) < 1. \tag{5.11}$$

Hence, by (5.10) and (5.11), the first probability in (5.9) is bounded above by

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{\substack{\hat{x}_1 \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s) \\ \hat{x}_2 \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)_K^c)}} \left[\left(1 - P^{\hat{x}_1}\left(T_{\hat{x}} < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)_K^c)}\right)\right)\left(1 - P^{\hat{x}_2}\left(T_{\hat{x}} < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)}\right)\right)\right]^{n_K} \\ & \leq \max_{\hat{x}_1 \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r)_s)} \exp\left(-P^{\hat{x}_1}(T_{\hat{x}} < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,R)_K^c)})n_K\right) \\ & \leq e^{-\left[\left(\frac{\log(R/r)+O(r^{-1/4})}{\log(R)}\right)\left(1+O(\log(R)^{-1})\right)\right]n_K} \leq e^{-(1-\delta)\frac{\pi_\Gamma b(\log K)^2}{2\log(R/r)}\left(\frac{\log(R/r)}{\log(R)}\right)} \\ & = e^{-(1-\delta)\frac{\pi_\Gamma b(\log K)^2}{2\log(R)}} \leq e^{-(1-\delta)\pi_\Gamma b(\log K)/2} \leq K^{-(1-\delta)\pi_\Gamma b/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

The second probability in (5.9) is bounded above by (5.3),

$$P^{\hat{x}_0}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n_K}\tau^{(j)} \geq b(K\log K)^2\right) \leq P^{\hat{x}_0}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n_K}\tau^{(j)} \geq (1+\delta)n_K\frac{2K^2\log(R/r)}{\pi_\Gamma}\right)$$
$$\leq e^{-C'(1-\delta)\pi_\Gamma b(\log(K))^2/\log(\log K)}, \tag{5.13}$$

for some $C' = C'(\delta) > 0$. (5.12) and (5.13) combined with (5.9) gives us (5.8). □

The upper bound of (1.4) is as follows: For any $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $\gamma > 0$, we have by Lemma 5.2, that for $\gamma/(2\alpha) > \delta > 0$ small enough,

$$\begin{aligned}
&P\left(\left|\left\{\hat{x}\in\mathbb{Z}_K^2 : \frac{\mathcal{T}_K(\hat{x})}{(K\log K)^2} \geq \frac{4\alpha}{\pi_\Gamma}\right\}\right| \geq K^{2(1-\alpha)+\gamma}\right)\\
&\leq K^{-2(1-\alpha)-\gamma}\,\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\left\{\hat{x}\in\mathbb{Z}_K^2 : \frac{\mathcal{T}_K(\hat{x})}{(K\log K)^2} \geq \frac{4\alpha}{\pi_\Gamma}\right\}\right|\right)\\
&= K^{-2(1-\alpha)-\gamma}\sum_{\hat{x}\in\mathbb{Z}_K^2} P\left(\frac{\mathcal{T}_K(\hat{x})}{(K\log K)^2} \geq \frac{4\alpha}{\pi_\Gamma}\right)\\
&\leq K^{2\delta\alpha-\gamma} \underset{K\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

5.2 Lower bound of late point probabilities

Fixing $0 < \alpha < 1$, we prove in this section the lower bound of (1.4): for any $\delta > 0$, $K_n = e^n n^{3n+\overline{\gamma}}$, and some universal $n_0(\delta) < \infty$, there exists $f_n(\delta) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ such that

$$P\left(\left|\left\{\hat{x}\in\mathbb{Z}_{K_n}^2 : \frac{\mathcal{T}_{K_n}(\hat{x})}{(K_n\log K_n)^2} \geq \frac{4\alpha}{\pi_\Gamma}\right\}\right| \geq K_n^{2(1-\alpha)-\delta}\right) \geq 1 - f_n(\delta).$$

The sequence $\{K_n\}_{n\geq n_0}$ covers all integers sufficiently to imply

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} P\left(\left|\left\{\hat{x}\in\mathbb{Z}_m^2 : \frac{\mathcal{T}_m(\hat{x})}{(m\log m)^2} \geq \frac{4\alpha}{\pi_\Gamma}\right\}\right| \geq m^{2(1-\alpha)-\delta}\right) = 1. \tag{5.15}$$

Let $a = 2\alpha$ and fix $\rho < \frac{2-a}{2}$. We call a pair $(\hat{x},\omega)$ n**-successful** if the path ω does not skip $\hat{x}$-bands and has the following excursion and visiting counts (where, recall, $v_k = 3ak^2\log k$):

$$N_{n,0}^{\hat{x}} = 0,\ |N_{n,k}^{\hat{x}} - v_k| \leq k,\ \textit{i.e.},\ N_{n,k}^{\hat{x}} \overset{k}{\sim} v_k,\ k = \rho n,\ldots,n-1.$$

Recall that $\mathcal{R}_n^{\hat{x}}$ is the time it takes for v_n excursions from $\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,r_{n,n-1}))$ out to $\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,r_{n,n})^c_{K_n})$ to complete, and note that $\{N_{n,0}^{\hat{x}} = 0\} = \{\mathcal{T}_{K_n}(\hat{x}) > \mathcal{R}_n^{\hat{x}}\}$. The next lemma relates the notions of n-success and first hitting times.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\mathcal{S}_n = \{\hat{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{K_n} : \mathcal{T}_{K_n}(\hat{x}) > \mathcal{R}^{\hat{x}}_n\}$. Then, for some $c > 0$ and all $n \geq n_0$,*

$$P\left(\bigcup_{\hat{x}\in\mathcal{S}_n}\left\{\frac{\mathcal{T}_{K_n}(\hat{x})}{(K_n\log K_n)^2} \leq \frac{2a}{\pi_\Gamma} - \frac{2}{\log n}\right\}\right) \leq c^{-1}e^{-cn^2/\log n}. \tag{5.16}$$

Proof Set $r = r_{n,n-1}$, $R = r_{n,n}$, and $\delta = \frac{\pi_\Gamma}{2a\log n}$. Then $\log(R/r) = 3\log n$, and by (5.2) under $N = v_n = 3an^2\log n$ excursions, we have that, for some $C > 0$, all $n \geq n_0$, and any $\hat{x}, \hat{x}_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{K_n}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{\hat{x}} &:= P^{\hat{x}_0}\left(\mathcal{T}_{K_n}(\hat{x}) \leq \left(\frac{2a}{\pi_\Gamma} - \frac{2}{\log n}\right)(K_n\log K_n)^2, \mathcal{T}_{K_n}(\hat{x}) > \mathcal{R}^{\hat{x}}_n\right)\\
&\leq P^{\hat{x}_0}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{v_n}\tau^{(j)} \leq \left(\frac{2a}{\pi_\Gamma} - \frac{1}{\log n}\right)K_n^2(3n\log n)^2\right)\\
&\leq P^{\hat{x}_0}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{v_n}\tau^{(j)} \leq (1-\delta)v_n\frac{2K_n^2\log(R/r)}{\pi_\Gamma}\right) \leq e^{-C\frac{n^2}{\log n}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Sum over $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{K_n}$ and select $c < C/2$ so that $c^{-1}e^{-cn_0^2} \geq 1$ to get (5.16). □

Let $Y(n,\hat{x})$, $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{K_n}$, be the indicator random variable for the event

$$\{\hat{x} \text{ is } n\text{-successful}\} = \{\omega : (\hat{x},\omega) \text{ is } n\text{-successful}\}.$$

In view of Lemma 5.3, we have (5.15) (and hence (1.4)) as soon as we show that, for any $\delta > 0$, all n sufficiently large, there exists a sequence $f_n \to 0$ such that

$$P\left(\sum_{\hat{x}\in\mathbb{Z}^2_{K_n}} Y(n,\hat{x}) \geq K_n^{2-a-\delta}\right) \geq 1 - f_n(\delta). \tag{5.17}$$

First, we state [3, Lemma 6.1], a combinatorial result that will aid us in the proof of Lemma 5.5.

Lemma 5.4. *For some $C = C(a) < \infty$ and all $k \geq 2$, $|m - v_{k+1}| \leq k+1$, $|l+1-v_k| \leq k$,*

$$\frac{C^{-1}k^{-3a-1}}{\sqrt{\log k}} \leq \binom{m+l}{l}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{m+l+1} \leq \frac{Ck^{-3a-1}}{\sqrt{\log k}}. \tag{5.18}$$

Lemma 5.5. *Fix $\rho < \rho' < \frac{2-a}{2}$. Then there exists $b \geq 10$ and $q_n \geq r_{n,n}^{-a+o(1_n)}$ such that for all n sufficiently large, uniformly in $\overline{\gamma} \in [b, b+4]$ and $\hat{x} \in S_{K_n} := \mathbb{Z}^2_{K_n} \setminus \hat{\pi}_K(D(0, r_{n,n}))$,*

$$P(\hat{x} \text{ is } n\text{-successful}) = (1 + o(1_n))q_n. \tag{5.19}$$

Proof We start by defining a way to examine excursions on a path. Let $\tau(1)$ be the time of the first visit to $\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x, r_{n,n-1})_{s_{n-1}^{n\downarrow}})$ (starting at $\hat{0}$, so coming from outside $\hat{x}$'s levels into $\hat{x}$'s large level $n-1$), and define $\tau(2)$, $\tau(3)$, ... to be the successive hitting times of different elements of $A_n := \bigcup_{k=\rho n}^{n} \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x, r_{n,k})_{s_k})$ until time $\mathcal{R}_n^{\hat{x}}$. We can construct a path ω's "history" as follows: let $m = (m_{\rho n}, \ldots, m_{n-1}, m_n)$, where m_k is the number of upcrossing excursions of ω (candidate values for $N_{n,k}^{\hat{x}}$) from level $k-1$, *i.e.*, $\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x, r_{n,k-1})_{s_{k-1}})$, out to level k, *i.e.*, $\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x, r_{n,k})_{s_k})$ before $\mathcal{R}_n^{\hat{x}}$, and set $|\overline{m}| = 2\sum_{k=\rho n}^{n} m_k - 1$. Let $\Phi : A_n \mapsto \{\rho n - 1, \ldots, n-1, n\}$ label the points of A_n by their annulus: set $\Phi(\hat{y}) = k$ if $\hat{y} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x, r_{n,k})_{s_k})$. Set $h(\omega, j) = \Phi(\omega(\tau(j))$, the label of the annulus hit at time $\tau(j)$, where $\omega \in \Omega_{\hat{x},n,n-1,m_n}^{\rho n-1,\ldots,n}$. (Note that, since we are referring to upcrossings here, at level $n-1$ we use the thin band $s_{n-1} = n^4$ rather than the thick band $s_{n-1}^{n\downarrow} = \sqrt{r_{n,n-1}}$, which is reserved for the downcrossing $n \downarrow n-1$.) Since $\omega \in \Omega_{\hat{x},n,n-1,m_n}^{\rho n-1,\ldots,n}$, h satisfies

$$h(\omega, 1) = n-1; \;\; |h(\omega, j+1) - h(\omega, j)| = 1, j = 1, \ldots, |\overline{m}| - 1; \;\; h(\omega, |\overline{m}|) = n. \tag{5.20}$$

Let $\mathcal{H}_n(|\overline{m}|)$ be the collection of all such maps

$$s : \{1, 2, \ldots, |\overline{m}|\} \mapsto \{\rho n - 1, \ldots, n-1, n\}$$

satisfying (5.20) for a given $\omega \in \Omega_{\hat{x},n,n-1,m_n}^{\rho n-1,\ldots,n}$. Note that the number of upcrossings from level $k-1$ to k is

$$u(k) := |\{(j, j+1) : (s(j), s(j+1)) = (k-1, k)\}| = m_k.$$

An upcrossing from $k-1$ to k can only occur before the last upcrossing from k to $k+1$. Hence, the number of ways to partition $u(k)$ upcrossings from $k-1$ to k among and before the $u(k+1)$ upcrossings from k to $k+1$ is

$$\binom{u(k+1) + u(k) - 1}{u(k)},$$

the number of ways to partition $u(k)$ identical objects into $u(k+1)$ sets. Since the mapping s is in one-to-one correspondence with the relative ordering of all its upcrossings, we have

$$|\mathcal{H}_n(\overline{m})| = \prod_{k=\rho n}^{n-1} \binom{m_{k+1} + m_k - 1}{m_k}.$$

Let $h|_k$ be the first k coordinates of the sequence h. Applying the strong Markov property at the times $\tau(1)$, $\tau(2)$, ..., $\tau(|\overline{m}| - 1)$, we have, uniformly for $s \in \mathcal{H}_n(\overline{m})$ and $\hat{x} \in S_{K_n}$,

$$P(h|_{|\overline{m}|} = s; \Omega_{\hat{x},n,n-1,m_n}^{\rho n-1,\ldots,n}; \mathcal{T}_{K_n}(\hat{x}) > \tau(|\overline{m}|)) = \prod_{k=\rho n}^{n} a_k^{m_k} b_k^{m_k}, \tag{5.21}$$

where a_l and b_l are described below.

We wish to examine the probabilities of excursions between annuli. For the outermost

level, from level n (*i.e.*, the $\hat{x}$-band of width $s_n = n^4$ at radius $r_{n,n}$), the probability that the toral walk crosses back down to $r_{n,n-1}$ via the thick $\hat{x}$-band (which is of width $s_{n-1}^{n\downarrow} = \sqrt{r_{n,n-1}}$, unlike all other bands) can be estimated by the bound below (2.70). Uniformly for $\hat{w} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x, r_{n,n})_{s_n})$, and for large enough n, there exists $c, c' > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} b_n &= P^{\hat{w}}\left(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,r_{n,n-1}+s_{n-1}^{n\downarrow}))} = T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r_{n,n-1})_{s_{n-1}^{n\downarrow}})}\right) \\ &= 1 - P^{\hat{w}}\left(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,r_{n,n-1}))} < T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r_{n,n-1})_{s_{n-1}^{n\downarrow}})}\right) \\ &\geq 1 - c r_{n,n-1}^2 \log^2(r_{n,n-1}) r_{n,n-1}^{-M/2} \\ &\geq 1 - c r_{n,n-1}^{2-M/2} \log(r_{n,n-1})^2 \\ &\geq 1 - c' r_{n,n-1}^{-\beta} n^2 (\log n)^2 \\ &\geq 1 - c' e^{-\beta n} n^{-3\beta(n-1)+2} (\log n)^2 = 1 + o(n^{-4}). \end{aligned} \tag{5.22}$$

From the innermost level $\rho n - 1$, applying (2.67), we will avoid visiting $\hat{x}$ and cross back up to level ρn via its $s_{\rho n} = n^4$-band, uniformly in $\hat{w} \in \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x, r_{n,\rho n-1})_{s_{\rho n-1}})$, with probability

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\rho n} &= P^{\hat{w}}\left(T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,r_{n,\rho n})_K^c)} < T_{\hat{x}};\, T_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(x,r_{n,\rho n})_K^c)} = T_{\hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x,r_{n,\rho n})_{s_{\rho n}})}\right) \\ &= 1 - \frac{\log\left(\frac{r_{n,\rho n}}{r_{n,\rho n-1}}\right) + O(r_{n,\rho n-1}^{-1/4})}{\log r_{n,\rho n}} \left(1 + O((\log r_{n,\rho n})^{-1})\right) + o(n^{-8}) \\ &= 1 - \frac{3\log n + o(e^{-n/4})}{n + 3\rho n \log n}(1 + O((\rho n \log n)^{-1})) + o(n^{-8}) \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{\rho n} + O\left((\rho n^2 \log n)^{-1}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.23}$$

For the middle levels, set a_l to the probability in (2.77) for upcrossings for $l = \rho n, \ldots, n$, and b_l to (2.79) for downcrossings:

$$a_l,\, b_l = \frac{1}{2} + o(n^{-4}),\ l = \rho n - 1, \ldots, n - 1. \tag{5.24}$$

By (5.22), (5.23), and (5.24), (5.21) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k=\rho n}^{n} a_k^{m_k} b_k^{m_k} &= a_{\rho n}^{m_{\rho n}} b_n^{m_n} \prod_{k=\rho n}^{n-1} a_{k+1}^{m_{k+1}} b_k^{m_k} \\ &= a_{\rho n}^{m_{\rho n}} (1 + o(n^{-4}))^{m_n} \left(\frac{1}{2} + o(n^{-4})\right)^{|\overline{m}| - m_{\rho n} - m_n + 1} \end{aligned} \tag{5.25}$$

since $\sum_{k=\rho n}^{n-1}(m_k+m_{k+1}) = |\overline{m}| - m_{\rho n} - m_n + 1$. Factoring $\frac{1}{2}$ from the main terms and combining reduces this probability to

$$a_{\rho n}^{m_{\rho n}}\left(1+o(n^{-4})\right)^{|\overline{m}|-m_{\rho n}+1}\prod_{k=\rho n}^{n-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{m_k+m_{k+1}}.$$

Uniformly in $|\overline{m}|$, we have $\left(1+o(n^{-4})\right)^{|\overline{m}|-m_{\rho n}+1} = 1+o(1_n)$. Finally, for large enough n, uniformly in $m_{\rho n} \overset{\rho n}{\sim} v_{\rho n}$, and since $a_{\rho n}, \rho \leq 1$, we can bound the term $a_{\rho n}^{m_{\rho n}}$ below:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\rho n}^{m_{\rho n}} &\geq \left(1-\frac{1}{\rho n}+O((\rho n^2\log n)^{-1})\right)^{3a(\rho n)^2\log(\rho n)+\rho n} \\ &\geq e^{-3a\rho n\log(\rho n)+O(1)} \geq e^c(\rho n)^{3\rho n(-a)} \\ &\geq e^{n(-a+o(1_n))}n^{3\rho n(-a+o(1_n))} \geq r_{n,\rho n}^{-a+o(1_n)}. \end{aligned}$$

All combined, this yields the exact-history s, not-skipping-$\hat{x}$-bands probability bound

$$\begin{aligned} &P(h|_{|\overline{m}|} = s; \Omega_{\hat{x},n,n-1,m_n}^{\rho n-1,\ldots,n}; \mathcal{T}_{K_n}(\hat{x}) > \tau(|\overline{m}|)) \\ &\qquad \geq (1+o(1_n))r_{n,\rho n}^{-a+o(1_n)}\prod_{k=\rho n}^{n-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{m_k+m_{k+1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.26}$$

Taking $m_n = v_n = 3an^2\log n$ and summing over all possible maps s for each possible path ω gives us

$$P(\hat{x} \text{ is } n\text{-successful}) = (1+o(1_n))\,q_n, \tag{5.27}$$

which, by (5.26), is (5.19) for

$$q_n \geq r_{n,\rho n}^{-a+o(1_n)}\sum_{\substack{m_{\rho n},\ldots,m_{n-1} \\ |m_k-v_k|\leq k}}\prod_{k=\rho n}^{n-1}\binom{m_{k+1}+m_k-1}{m_k}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{m_k+m_{k+1}}. \tag{5.28}$$

Note that q_n does not depend on $\hat{x}$. By (5.18), there exists $C, C' < \infty$ independent of k such that, uniformly in $m_k \overset{k}{\sim} v_k$ and $m_{k+1} \overset{k+1}{\sim} v_{k+1}$,

$$\frac{C'k^{-3a-1}}{\sqrt{\log k}} \geq \binom{m_{k+1}+m_k-1}{m_k}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{m_k+m_{k+1}} \geq \frac{Ck^{-3a-1}}{\sqrt{\log k}}. \tag{5.29}$$

Since there are $2l+1$ positive terms for each l such that $m_l \overset{l}{\sim} v_l$, the sum in (5.28) is a sum of $\prod_{l=\rho n}^{n-1}(2l+1)$ terms; each of these terms is a product of $(1-\rho)n$ factors, each of the form $\binom{m_{l+1}+m_l-1}{m_l}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{m_l+m_{l+1}}$. Thus, using (5.29) and some $C_1, C_1' < \infty$, we can

bound the sum in (5.28) by

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{k=\rho n}^{n-1}\frac{C_1'k^{-3a}}{\sqrt{\log k}} &\geq \prod_{l=\rho n}^{n-1}(2l+1)\prod_{k=\rho n}^{n-1}\frac{C'k^{-3a-1}}{\sqrt{\log k}}\\ &\geq \sum_{\substack{m_{\rho n},\ldots,m_{n-1}\\ |m_l-v_l|\leq l}}\prod_{k=\rho n}^{n-1}\frac{Ck^{-3a-1}}{\sqrt{\log k}} \geq \prod_{l=\rho n}^{n-1}(2l+1)\prod_{k=\rho n}^{n-1}\frac{Ck^{-3a-1}}{\sqrt{\log k}} \qquad (5.30)\\ &\geq \prod_{k=\rho n}^{n-1}\frac{C_1k^{-3a}}{\sqrt{\log k}} \geq (1-\rho)nC_1^{(1-\rho)n}n^{3(1-\rho)n(-a)}\left(\prod_{k=\rho n}^{n-1}\log k\right)^{-1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that a constant c is $n^{o(1_n)}$, and n^c is $(n^n)^{o(1_n)}$ for any fixed $c>0$. Hence,

$$(1-\rho)nC_1^{(1-\rho)n} = (n^n)^{o(1_n)} = r_{n,n}^{o(1_n)}. \qquad (5.31)$$

Next, $n^{3(1-\rho)n(-a)}$ combined with $r_{n,\rho n}^{-a+o(1_n)}$ yields

$$r_{n,\rho n}^{-a+o(1_n)}n^{3(1-\rho)n(-a)} = (e^n n^{3\rho n})^{-a+o(1_n)}(n^{3(1-\rho)n})^{-a} = r_{n,n}^{-a+o(1_n)}. \qquad (5.32)$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\prod_{k=\rho n}^{n-1}\log k\right) = n^{nx} \implies x = \frac{\log\left(\prod_{k=\rho n}^{n-1}\log k\right)}{n\log n} &\leq \frac{(1-\rho)n\log\log n}{n\log n}\to 0\\ \implies \left(\prod_{k=\rho n}^{n-1}\log k\right)^{-1/2} &= r_{n,n}^{o(1_n)}. \qquad (5.33)\end{aligned}$$

Merging (5.28)-(5.33) results in $q_n \geq r_{n,n}^{-a+o(1_n)}$. $\square$

For a given n, define

$$l(\hat{x},\hat{y}) := \max\{m\in\{0,1,2,\ldots,n\} : \hat{\pi}_K(D(x,r_{n,m}))\cap\hat{\pi}_K(D(y,r_{n,m})) = \emptyset\}$$

to be the largest radius index (up to n) of discs centered at $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{y}$ that do not intersect. We now show that the covariance of $Y(n,\hat{x})$ between pairs of points depends on how far apart they are, based on this measurement.

Lemma 5.6. *Fix $\varepsilon>0$. Then there exists $b\geq 10$ and $C=C(b,\varepsilon)<\infty$ such that for all n and $\hat{x},\hat{y}\in S_{K_n}$,*

$$\mathbb{E}(Y(n,\hat{x})Y(n,\hat{y})) \leq \begin{cases} C^{n-l}q_n^2n^b\left(\frac{r_{n,n}}{r_{n,l}}\right)^{a+\varepsilon} & \rho'n\leq l(\hat{x},\hat{y})<n,\\ (1+o(1_n))q_n^2 & l(\hat{x},\hat{y})=n.\end{cases} \qquad (5.34)$$

Proof First, note that, using the index set $M_l := \{l, l+1, \ldots, n-1\}$, the same analysis at the end of the proof of Lemma 5.5 yields, for any $l \geq \rho n$, uniformly in $\hat{x} \in S_{K_n}$, $\overline{\gamma}$, and $m_k \leq 3k^2 \log k + k$,

$$P(N_{n,k}^{\hat{x}} = m_k, k \in M_l) = (1 + o(1_n)) \prod_{k=l}^{n-1} \binom{m_{k+1} + m_k - 1}{m_k} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{m_k + m_{k+1}}. \tag{5.35}$$

Recall that $v_k = v_k(a) = 3ak^2 \log k$ and $N \overset{k}{\sim} v_k$ if $|N - v_k| \leq k$ for $\rho n \leq k < n$ and $N = 0$ if $k = 0$. We first note that, for $\rho' n \leq l(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) < n$, $2r_{n,l+1} + 2 \geq d(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) \geq 2r_{n,l} + 2$. Thus, there are, for some constants $C_{n,k} \approx 4\pi$,

$$|\{y : l(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = l\}| = C_{n,l+1}(r_{n,l+1}^2 - r_{n,l}^2). \tag{5.36}$$

Since $r_{n,l+2} - r_{n,l} \gg r_{n,l+1}$, it is easy to see that

$$l = l(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) < n \implies \hat{\pi}_K(D(y, r'_{n,l})) \cap \hat{\pi}_K(\partial D(x, r_{n,k})_{s_k}) = \emptyset$$

for $k \neq l+1$ (the thick band at $k = n-1$ also satisfies this). Replacing hereafter l with $l \wedge n - 3$, it follows that for $k \neq l+1, l+2$, the events $\{N_{n,k}^{\hat{x}} \overset{k}{\sim} v_k\}$ are measurable with respect to the σ-algebra $\mathcal{G}_{n,l\downarrow l-1}^{\hat{y}}$ (defined before Lemma 4.4), since the excursions outside these bands depend (up to error term) only on their beginning and end points.

Slightly rewriting the notation of Lemma 4.5, define the set of *$\hat{y}$-faithful* paths for the set of indices A,

$$\Gamma_n^{\hat{y}}(A) := \{N_{n,i}^{\hat{y}} \overset{i}{\sim} v_i;\ i \in A\} \cap \Omega_{y,n,l,v_l+l}^{A},$$

to be the set of paths with n-successful $\hat{y}$-excursion counts on the levels of the indices of A. Using the index set $J_l = \{0, \rho n, \ldots, l-1\}$, we collect all the pertinent inner-level $\hat{y}$-based excursions, and with the index set $I_l = \{0, \rho n, \ldots, l, l+3, \ldots, n-1\}$, we combine the inner- and outer-level $\hat{x}$-faithful excursion paths, skipping the two levels where $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{y}$'s annuli cross (causing a jump in their n-success covariance).

Note that $\Gamma_n^{\hat{x}}(I_l) \in \mathcal{G}_{n,l\downarrow l-1}^{\hat{y}}$ (it skips the two levels in question). Then we have that

$$\{\hat{x} \text{ and } \hat{y} \text{ are } n\text{-successful}\} \subset \Gamma_n^{\hat{x}}(I_l) \cap \Gamma_n^{\hat{y}}(J_{l+1}).$$

Recall that, if $B \in \mathcal{G}$, $P(A \cap B|\mathcal{G}) = P(A|\mathcal{G})1_B$. Applying (4.28), and focusing on level l, for some universal constant $C_3 < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} P(\hat{x} \text{ and } \hat{y} \text{ are } n\text{-successful}) &\leq \sum_{m_l \overset{l}{\sim} v_l} \mathbb{E}\left(P\left(\Gamma_n^{\hat{y}}(J_l) | N_{n,l}^{\hat{y}} = m_l, \mathcal{G}_{n,l\downarrow l-1}^{\hat{y}}\right); \Gamma_n^{\hat{x}}(I_l)\right) \\ &\leq C_3 P(\Gamma_n^{\hat{x}}(I_l)) \sum_{m_l \overset{l}{\sim} v_l} P\left(\Gamma_n^{\hat{y}}(J_l) | N_{n,l}^{\hat{y}} = m_l\right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.37}$$



Figure 5: An example of $l(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = l$ where levels l and $l+1$ have nonempty intersection

Using Lemma 4.5, for some universal constant $0 < C_4 < \infty$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1 + o(1_n))q_n &= P(y \text{ is } n\text{-successful}) \qquad (5.38)\\
&= \sum_{m_l \overset{l}{\sim} v_l} \mathbb{E}\left(P\left(\Gamma_n^{\hat{y}}(J_l) | N_{n,l}^{\hat{y}} = m_l, \mathcal{G}_{n,l\downarrow l-1}^{\hat{y}}\right);\, N_{n,l}^{\hat{y}} = m_l, \Gamma_n^{\hat{y}}(M_{l+1})\right)\\
&\geq C_4 \sum_{m_l \overset{l}{\sim} v_l} P\left(N_{n,l}^{\hat{y}} = m_l, \Gamma_n^{\hat{y}}(M_{l+1})\right) \times P(\Gamma_n^{\hat{y}}(J_l) | N_{n,l}^{\hat{y}} = m_l).
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by (5.35) and (5.29), for some universal $C_5 < \infty$,

$$\sum_{m_l \overset{l}{\sim} v_l} P\left(\Gamma_n^{\hat{y}}(J_l) | N_{n,l}^{\hat{y}} = m_l\right) \le C_5^{n-l} q_n l \left(\prod_{k=l}^{n-1} k^{3a}\sqrt{\log k}\right). \tag{5.39}$$

Similarly, using Lemma 4.5,

$$\begin{aligned} P(\Gamma_n^{\hat{x}}(I_l)) &\le \sum_{m_l \overset{l}{\sim} v_l} \mathbb{E}\left(P\left(\Gamma_n^{\hat{x}}(J_l) | N_{n,l}^{x} = m_l, \mathcal{G}_{n,l\downarrow l-1}^{x}\right); \Gamma_n^{\hat{x}}(M_{l+3})\right) \\ &\le C_6 P(\Gamma_n^{\hat{x}}(M_{l+3}) \sum_{m_l \overset{l}{\sim} v_l} P\left(\Gamma_n^{\hat{x}}(J_l) | N_{n,l}^{x} = m_l\right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.40}$$

Comparing (5.40) and (5.38), and applying (5.35) and (5.29) again, we get

$$P(\Gamma_n^{\hat{x}}(I_l)) \le C_7 l \left(\prod_{k=l}^{l+2} k^{3a}\sqrt{\log k}\right) q_n. \tag{5.41}$$

Combining (5.37), (5.39), and (5.41) proves (5.34) for $l(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) < n$.

Finally, we deal with those pairs far apart. For most pairs ($K_n^2(K_n^2 - C_{n,n}r_{n,n}^2)$ pairs for some $C_{n,n} \approx 4\pi$ of them), we have $l(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = n$. For these, the event $\{\hat{x}$ is n-successful$\}$ is $\mathcal{G}_{n,n\downarrow n-1}^{\hat{y}}$-measurable, so by Lemma 4.5,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(Y(n,\hat{x})Y(n,\hat{y})) &= P(\hat{x} \text{ and } \hat{y} \text{ are } n\text{-successful}) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(P(\hat{y} \text{ is } n\text{-successful} \,|\, \mathcal{G}_{n,n\downarrow n-1}^{y}); \hat{x} \text{ is } n\text{-successful}) \\ &\le (1 + O(n^{-1}(\log n)^2))(1 + o(1_n))q_n^2 = (1 + o(1_n))q_n^2. \quad \square \end{aligned} \tag{5.42}$$

We can now prove Theorem 1.1.

Let

$$V_l := \sum_{x,y \in S_{K_n}, l(\hat{x},\hat{y}) = l} \mathbb{E}(Y(n,\hat{x}), Y(n,\hat{y})), \;\; l = 0, 1, \ldots, n.$$

Since, by (5.19), considering the sum $W_n := \sum_{\hat{x} \in S_{K_n}} Y(n,\hat{x})$, the number of n-successful points $\hat{x}$,

$$\mathbb{E}(W_n) = \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{x \in S_{K_n}} Y(n,\hat{x})\right) = (1 + o(1_n))K_n^2 q_n \ge K_n^{2-a+o(1_n)},$$

recall the Paley-Zygmund inequality ([22, Lemma 14.8.2]): since $W_n \in L^2(\Omega)$, for any

$0 < \lambda_n < 1$, we have

$$P(W_n \geq \lambda_n \mathbb{E}(W_n)) \geq (1-\lambda_n)^2 \frac{\mathbb{E}(W_n)^2}{\mathbb{E}(W_n^2)}. \tag{5.43}$$

By (5.43), (5.17) will follow from the bottom half of (7.34) and

$$\mathbb{E}(W_n^2) = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} V_l \leq o(1_n) K_n^4 q_n^2. \tag{5.44}$$

To obtain this bound, first note that the definition of $l(x,y)$ implies that $d(\hat{x},\hat{y}) < 2r_{n,l(x,y)+1} + 2$. Hence, on $\mathbb{Z}^2_{K_n}$ there are at most $C_0 r^2_{n,l+1}$ points $\hat{y} \in \hat{\pi}_K(D(x, r_{n,l+1}))$ (from here on, C_m are constants independent of n). Since $2\rho' < 2-a$, there exists $C_1 < \infty$ such that the covariances on the inner levels sum to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=0}^{\rho' n-1} V_l &\leq \sum_{\hat{x},\hat{y}\in\mathbb{Z}^2_{K_n}, d(\hat{x},\hat{y})\leq 2r_{n,\rho' n}} \mathbb{E}(Y(n,\hat{x})Y(n,\hat{y})) \\ &\leq \sum_{\hat{x},\hat{y}\in\mathbb{Z}^2_{K_n}, d(\hat{x},\hat{y})\leq 2r_{n,\rho' n}} \mathbb{E}(Y(n,\hat{x})) \leq C_1 q_n K_n^2 r^2_{n,\rho' n} \leq o(1_n) K_n^4 q_n^2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.45}$$

Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $2 - a - \varepsilon > 0$ and fix $l \in [\rho' n, n)$. Then, by (5.34), the outer-level covariances are bounded by

$$V_l \leq C_2 K_n^2 r^2_{n,l+1} q_n^2 n^b C^{n-l} \left(\frac{r_{n,n}}{r_{n,l}}\right)^{a+\varepsilon}, \tag{5.46}$$

which leads to the overall upper-level covariance bound

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=\rho' n}^{n-1} V_l &\leq C_2 K_n^2 q_n^2 n^b \sum_{l=\rho' n}^{n-1} C^{n-l} r^2_{n,l+1} \left(\frac{r_{n,n}}{r_{n,l}}\right)^{a+\varepsilon} \\ &= C_2 K_n^4 q_n^2 n^{-2\overline{\gamma}+b+6} \sum_{l=\rho' n}^{n-1} C^{n-l} \left(\frac{r_{n,l}}{r_{n,n}}\right)^{2-a-\varepsilon} \\ &\leq C_2 K_n^4 q_n^2 n^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} C^j r_{n,j}^{-(2-a-\varepsilon)}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.47}$$

Combining (5.45) and (5.47) we get (5.44), which proves (5.17) and thus (5.15). □

Finally, we prove the cover time result, Corollary 1.2.

Proof The lower bound (5.15) implies that, for any $\alpha \in (0,1)$, α-late points exist with positive probability. As $\alpha \uparrow 1$, we have that $\frac{\mathcal{T}_{cov}(\mathbb{Z}^2_K)}{(K\log K)^2} \geq \frac{4}{\pi_\Gamma}$ in probability as $K \to \infty$.

For the upper bound, we modify the argument of (5.14) to approach from above, *i.e.*, $\alpha \downarrow 1$, to show that, as $K \to \infty$, we have no late points beyond $\alpha = 1$ after the expected

cover time $\frac{4}{\pi_\Gamma}(K\log K)^2$. Define, for any $\alpha > 0$, the cover time event

$$A_\alpha^K := \left\{ |\mathcal{L}_K(\alpha)| = \left| \left\{ \hat{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_K^2 : \frac{\mathcal{T}_K(\hat{x})}{(K\log K)^2} \geq \frac{4\alpha}{\pi_\Gamma} \right\} \right| \geq K^0 = 1 \right\} = \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{T}_{cov}(\mathbb{Z}_K^2)}{(K\log K)^2} > \frac{4\alpha}{\pi_\Gamma} \right\}.$$

For any $\delta > 0$, set $b = \frac{4\alpha}{\pi_\Gamma} = \frac{4+\delta}{\pi_\Gamma(1-\delta)}$ (so that $\alpha = \frac{4+\delta}{4(1-\delta)} > 1$); Lemma 5.2 and (5.14) yield

$$\begin{aligned} P(A_\alpha^K) = P(|\mathcal{L}_K(\alpha)| \geq 1) &= P\left(\left| \left\{ \hat{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_K^2 : \frac{\mathcal{T}_K(\hat{x})}{(K\log K)^2} \geq b \right\} \right| \geq 1 \right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left(\left| \left\{ \hat{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_K^2 : \frac{\mathcal{T}_K(\hat{x})}{(K\log K)^2} \geq b \right\} \right| \right) \\ &= \sum_{\hat{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_K^2} P\left(\frac{\mathcal{T}_K(\hat{x})}{(K\log K)^2} \geq b \right) \\ &\leq K^{2-(1-\delta)\pi_\Gamma b/2} = K^{-\delta/2} \underset{K\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

6 Open Problems

We have given the asymptotic timing of a large class of infinite-range symmetric random walks on the two-dimensional torus. Some open problems to extend this work are:

- Analyze the neighborhoods and pairs of late points mentioned in [12, Theorems 1.2 and 1.3]. How is the spacing of α-late point pairs on $\mathbb{Z}_K^2$ affected by jumping walks?
- Examine the structure of the frequent points on the lattice torus.
- [12] suggests that its nearest-neighbor results may be extended to the planar Weiner sausage on the two-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^2$. We suggest, then, that using this class of jumping walks, this work may be extended to a larger class of "compound Poisson Weiner sausage links" on $\mathbb{T}^2$ (for example, a two-dimensional Brownian motion with exponentially-timed jumps).
- Check the ratio of late points of $\mathbb{Z}_{K_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{K_2}$ when limiting the coordinates at different rates and when limiting to the infinite cylinder $\mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_K$ for fixed K.
- Find tight bounds for $\hat{G}_{\hat{\pi}_K(D(0,n))}(\hat{x},\hat{x})$, the external toral Green's function, along with annulus Green's functions on the plane and torus and expected hitting times of these discs and annuli, and prove a full exterior toral Harnack inequality.
- Give computational rates of convergence for the number of late points, given α and p_1.

References

[1] Aldous, D. (1989). *Probability Approximations via the Poisson Clumping Heuristic.* Springer, Berlin.

[2] Aldous, D. and Fill, J. *Reversible Markov Chains and Random Walks on Graphs.* `http://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~aldous/RWG/book.html`. Monograph in preparation. Last verified 13 July 2010.

[3] Bass, R. and Rosen, J. (2007). Frequent points for random walks in two dimensions. *Electronic Journal of Probability* **12** 1-46.

[4] Brummelhuis, M. and Hilhorst, H. (1991). Covering of a finite lattice by a random walk. *Physica A.* **176** 387-408.

[5] Carlisle, M. (2012). On the Escape of a Symmetric Random Walk From Two Pieces of a Tripartite Set. `arXiv:1209.1761 [math.PR]`.

[6] Carlisle, M. (2012). On Escaping, Entering, and Visiting Discs of Projections of Planar Symmetric Random Walks on the Lattice Torus. `arXiv:1209.2383 [math.PR]`.

[7] Carlisle, M. (2012). Harnack Inequalities of Hitting Distributions of Projections of Planar Symmetric Random Walks on the Lattice Torus. `arXiv:1209.2742 [math.PR]`.

[8] Dembo, A. and Funaki, T. (2005) Lectures on probability theory and statistics: Ecole d'Eté de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XXXIII - 2003. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **1869**. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.

[9] Dembo, A. (2006) Simple random covering, disconnection, late and favorite points. *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians Madrid* **3** 535-558.

[10] Dembo, A., Peres, Y., Rosen, J., and Zeitouni, O. (2001). Thick points for planar Brownian motion and random walks in two dimensions. *Acta Math.* **186** 239-270.

[11] Dembo, A., Peres, Y., Rosen, J., and Zeitouni, O. (2004). Cover times for Brownian motion and random walks in two dimensions. *Annals of Mathematics* **160** 433-464.

[12] Dembo, A., Peres, Y., Rosen, J., and Zeitouni, O. (2006). Late points for random walks in two dimensions. *Annals of Probability* **34** 219-263.

[13] Diaconis, P. (1996). The cutoff phenomenon in finite Markov chains. In *Group Representations in Probability and Statistics.* Inst. Math. Stat., Hayward.

[14] Durrett, R. (2005). *Probability: Theory and Examples.* 3rd Edition. Thomson - Brooks/Cole.

[15] Erdös, P. and Taylor, S. J. (1960). Some problems concerning the structure of random walk paths. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 11, 137-162.

[16] Fitzsimmons, P. and Pitman, J. (1999). *Kac's moment formula for additive functionals of a Markov process. Stochastic Process. Appl.* **79** 117-134.

[17] Lawler, G. (1991). *Intersections of Random Walks.* Birkhäuser, Boston.

[18] Lawler, G. (1993). On the covering time of a disc by a random walk in two dimensions. In *Seminar on Stochastic Processes 1992* 189-208. Birkhäuser, Boston.

[19] Lawler, G. and Limic, V. (2010). *Random Walk: A Modern Introduction.* Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics, 123. Cambridge University Press, New York.

[20] Lawler, G. and Polaski, T. (1992). Harnack inequalities and difference estimates for random walks with infinite range. *Journal of Theoretical Probability* **6** 781-802.

[21] Levin, D., Peres, Y., and Wilmer, E. (2008). *Markov Chains and Mixing Times.* Amer. Math. Society.

[22] Marcus, M. B. and J. Rosen. (2006). *Markov Processes, Gaussian Processes, and Local Times.* Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics, 100. Cambridge University Press, New York.

[23] Revuz, D. and M. Yor. (2005). *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion*, 3rd Edition. Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York.

[24] Rosen, J. (2005). A random walk proof of the Erdös-Taylor Conjecture. *Periodica Mathematica Hungarica* **50** 223-245.

[25] Shreve, S. E. (2005). *Stochastic Calculus for Finance I: The Binomial Asset Pricing Model.* Springer Science+Business Media, New York.

[26] Spitzer, F. (1976). *Principles of Random Walk*, Second Edition. Springer, Princeton, NJ.

[27] Wilf, H. (1989). The editor's corner: the white screen problem. In *American Mathematical Monthly* **96** 704-707.